| -1 | |
|----|---|
| 1 | |
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | State of California, San Francisco Bay Regional |
| 14 | Water Quality Control Board Hearing on |
| 15 | September 11, 2019 |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | PAGES 1 - 62 |
| | |
| | Page 1 |

| 1 | JAMES MCGRATH: All right. With that, |
|----|--|
| 2 | I think we have time to at least start the San |
| 3 | Francisco PUC matter. |
| 4 | MICHAEL MONTGOMERY: Yeah, thank you. |
| 5 | I'd like to invite up Jessica Watkins. She's the |
| 6 | Senior Supervisor in the NPDS Program that will |
| 7 | be giving a presentation on this. |
| 8 | WILLIAM KISSENGER: Before we do, I |
| 9 | want to recuse myself from participating in the |
| 10 | decision. For the record, I'm a lawyer at the |
| 11 | law firm of Morgan Lewis & Bockius in San |
| 12 | Francisco and I currently am representing San |
| 13 | Francisco Public Utilities Commission on matters |
| 14 | that are unrelated to the reissuance of the |
| 15 | Oceanside permit, but because I work with this |
| 16 | discharger, albeit on matters that are unrelated |
| 17 | to this particular item, I will recuse myself to |
| 18 | avoid any potential appearance of impropriety. |
| 19 | JAMES MCGRATH: You know that's going |
| 20 | to be upstairs. Okay. We're going to have |
| 21 | lunch. Yeah, we'll go up up to the office and |
| 22 | I'll meet you up there. Okay. |
| 23 | JESSICA WATKINS: I'd like to use the |
| 24 | remote. Thank you. That's fine. |
| 25 | MICHAEL MONTGOMERY: Yeah, we're ready. |
| | Dago 2 |

| 1 | We can start. |
|----|---|
| 2 | JESSICA WATKINS: Good afternoon now, I |
| 3 | think. I'm Jessica Watkins. I'm still a Senior |
| 4 | Engineer in the NPDS Wastewater Enforcement |
| 5 | Division. Nothing's changed since this morning. |
| 6 | The Order before you today would re-issue the |
| 7 | existing NPDS permit for the wastewater |
| 8 | facilities in the western portion of San |
| 9 | Francisco. The permit would also cover a |
| 10 | recycled water project currently under |
| 11 | construction at the Wastewater Treatment Plant |
| 12 | site and that's expected to produce about 1.6 |
| 13 | million gallons per day of recycled water. I'll |
| 14 | start with some background about San Francisco's |
| 15 | wastewater treatment facilities and how we |
| 16 | regulate combined sewer systems and then I'm |
| 17 | going to end with significant comments that we |
| 18 | received during the public comment period and our |
| 19 | responses to those comments. So, let's go back a |
| 20 | slide, please. And this is an aerial view of San |
| 21 | Francisco. The city has two NPDS permits; so, |
| 22 | there's one for the western side of the city and |
| 23 | that's often referred to as the Westside or the |
| 24 | Oceanside and then there's also one for the |
| 25 | eastern portion of the city and that's referred |

| to as the Bayside. And the dividing line between |
|---|
| these two sides of the city is shown in yellow |
| and that's running from north to south. And we |
| permit these sides of the city separately because |
| runoff on the Bayside flows towards the Bay |
| whereas runoff on the Westside flows towards the |
| Pacific Ocean. So, today we're just talking |
| about the Western portion of the city and that's |
| highlighted in blue. And in the next few months, |
| we plan to draft a permit for the Bayside for |
| your consideration. Here's another figure |
| showing San Francisco's wastewater treatment |
| plants and major collection system assets and |
| there is a lot going on here, but we're just |
| going to focus on the Westside and that's shown |
| to the left in pink or brown or whatever that |
| color is. We'll zoom in. San Francisco's |
| collection system is unique because it's |
| predominantly a combined sewer system and San |
| Francisco is one of two cities in California that |
| has a combined sewer system and the other one is |
| located in a small portion of Sacramento. |
| Wastewater and stormwater generally flow towards |
| the perimeter of the city where the flows are |
| collected in large box-like structures and are |

| conveyed to the wastewater treatment plant. The |
|---|
| grey arrows show the general flow direction and |
| those dark red lines, those are the major |
| collection system pipelines that collect and |
| convey the flows to three transport storage |
| structures shown as the orange and brown |
| rectangles along the perimeter of the city. |
| Those are to the left there. The biggest |
| transport storage structure is the Westside |
| transport located beneath the Great Highway along |
| Ocean Beach and that's shown in orange and that |
| can store and convey about 50 million gallons of |
| combined wastewater and stormwater to the |
| treatment plant. And to get an idea of the scale |
| of this structure, you can try finding where the |
| five construction workers are located in the |
| photo. It might be easier for those sitting in |
| the front, but two of them are shown inside of |
| that red circle. So, it's a big structure. To |
| the north we have the 12 million-gallon Richmond |
| Tunnel and to the south is the 10 million-gallon |
| Lake Merced Tunnel and those are shown in brown. |
| These boxes convey flows to the Oceanside Water |
| Pollution Control Plant shown in yellow in the |
| bottom left of the screen. The treatment plant |

| is located on the Great Highway near the zoo and |
|---|
| it's one of only a few plants in the United |
| States that's built almost entirely underground. |
| The plant treats about 20% of the city's |
| wastewater and discharges to the Pacific Ocean |
| through a deep-water outfall that's more than 3 |
| nautical miles from the shore and we issue this |
| permit jointly with EPA because the plant |
| discharges to federal waters that are beyond |
| State jurisdiction. The State also has |
| jurisdiction because when rain overwhelms the |
| capacity of the combined sewer system, combined |
| wastewater and stormwater is discharged through |
| seven near shore outfalls. And from the north to |
| south, we'll take a look at those, so, these |
| discharges occur at Baker Beach, China Beach, |
| Mile Rock and two locations on Ocean Beach and |
| then down at Fort Funston. So, these discharges |
| occur when the collection system storage capacity |
| is exceeded and that's about 73 million gallons |
| in total. So, what is a combined sewer system? |
| A combined sewer system uses a single set a |
| single sewer system to collect domestic sewage |
| and stormwater runoff. And this is different |
| from separate sewer systems in which the domestic |

| sewage is conveyed to a treatment plant and one |
|---|
| set of pipes and the stormwater is conveyed to |
| surface waters using a different set of pipes and |
| that receives little or no treatment. As shown |
| in the figure, a combined sewer system also |
| collects street runoff to the stormwater drains |
| that can occur during dry weather and this could |
| include vehicle cleaning wash water, motor oil |
| dripping from cars and also trash. And the |
| advantage of a combined sewer system is that |
| stormwater and dry weather street runoff receive |
| treatment. However, during major storms, the |
| combined sewer system may discharge |
| undisinfected, partially treated wastewater and |
| stormwater to near shore outfalls and can also |
| backup into streets, homes and businesses. So, |
| this figure, in particular, shows an example of |
| when the collection system capacity is exceeded |
| and it's discharging to the Pacific Ocean. |
| Here's a flow diagram of San Francisco's |
| treatment system. So, moving from left to right, |
| again, the combined sewer system conveys flows to |
| the treatment plant and then discharge is treated |
| effluent to the deep-water outfall in the Pacific |
| Ocean. The plant typically treats about 11 |

| million gallons per day of domestic sewage and |
|---|
| dry weather street runoff. The plant can also |
| provide secondary treatment for up to 43 million |
| gallons per day, which is about four times its |
| typical dry weather flow. And that means that |
| during light to moderate rain, the plant provides |
| full secondary treatment for additional |
| stormwater flows. During heavier rain, the plant |
| can provide an additional 22 million gallons per |
| day of primary only treatment and that's blended |
| with the secondary treated water before being |
| discharged and this is similar to what weather |
| blending at some other treatment plants that you |
| might recall. In addition to pumping up to 65 |
| million gallons per day over to the plant, the |
| City can also pump up to 133 million gallons |
| directly to the deep-water outfall. And these |
| flows receive equivalent to primary treatment |
| within the combined sewer system. And we call |
| that equivalent to primary treatment because the |
| combined sewer system removes some floatables and |
| solids and that's similar to the primary |
| treatment received at a wastewater treatment |
| plant. And flows exceeding these capacities may |
| discharge from those seven near shore discharge |

| points along the western perimeter of the City. |
|---|
| So, these combined sewer discharges also receive |
| equivalent to primary treatment and they occur |
| about one to six times per year on average and |
| that depends on the weather and the exact |
| location. And San Francisco strives to collect |
| and treat all the wastewater and stormwater flows |
| during a three-hour storm that has about 1.3 |
| inches of rain. So, how do we regulate combined |
| sewer systems? During dry weather, we regulate |
| these facilities the same way we regulate any |
| other municipal wastewater treatment plant and |
| its collection system and that's with numeric |
| effluent limitations and various narrative |
| requirements. The majority of the time, the |
| system operates under these conditions; however, |
| during wet weather, we take a different approach, |
| so it's based on the Combined Sewer Overflow |
| Control Policy. And to be clear, in this |
| context, wet weather doesn't just mean that it's |
| raining, and the permit wet weather means that |
| San Francisco can't provide secondary treatment |
| for overflows. USCPA adopted the Combined Sewer |
| Overflow Control Policy in 1994 and that was to |
| establish a national approach for controlling |

| combined sewer discharges and overflows and this |
|---|
| policy was later written into the Clean Water |
| Act. During wet weather, the policy establishes |
| nine minimum controls as technology-based |
| requirements and requires implementation of a |
| long-term control plan to satisfy water quality- |
| based requirements. The nine minimum controls |
| are short-term controls that can reduce overflows |
| and their effects on receiving water quality |
| without significant engineering studies or major |
| construction. For example, one minimum control |
| is the control of solid and floatable materials |
| and that could include street sweeping or the |
| installation of simple devices like racks, |
| baffles, scradents. And street sweeping could |
| also count as pollution prevention which is |
| another minimum control. A primary objective of |
| the long-term control plan is to develop and |
| evaluate a range of overflow control alternatives |
| sufficient to meet water quality standards, |
| including the attainment and protection of |
| beneficial uses. And this permit would require |
| the City to update its long-term control plan for |
| the first time since the City finished |
| |

| the 1990s and this includes a requirement to |
|---|
| consider options to eliminate, relocate or reduce |
| the magnitude or frequency of those near shore |
| discharges. We received numerous comments from |
| San Francisco and 14 members of the public during |
| the public comment period. Members of the public |
| are concerned about the impacts of sewer |
| overflows from the combined sewer system on homes |
| and businesses, including manholes that are |
| dislodged by surcharging. And here are a couple |
| of photographs to illustrate these issues and |
| these photos were taken on the Bayside of the |
| City but are just provided here as context for |
| the public's comments. The photo on the right |
| shows about one foot of street flooding and then |
| the photo on the left shows a geyser of water |
| coming out of a manhole in the middle of the |
| street and that's circled in yellow. It's up to |
| about the height of the buildings nearby, it |
| looks like. And then, if you look more closely, |
| you could see that the manhole cover in that red |
| circle is about one car-length away from the |
| manhole. The public also supports public |
| notification requirements and new requirements to |
| report overflows into the statewide online data |

| base. We, and USCPA, take these concerns very |
|---|
| seriously and we're discussing potential |
| solutions with San Francisco. We understand that |
| we're not going to solve the entirety of this |
| problem with this permit reissuance, but we have |
| taken steps to strengthen the existing |
| requirements. So, for example, the new long-term |
| control plan update requires the City to ensure |
| that wet weather operations minimize the |
| frequency, volume and duration of these |
| overflows. It also requires the City to submit a |
| report that characterizes these overflows over |
| the last 10 years. Now, we're going to look at |
| some of San Francisco's comments. San Francisco |
| questions USCPA and Board authority to require |
| the long-term control plan update and San |
| Francisco argues that the requirement is contrary |
| to law and unsupported by facts and prior |
| findings made by USCPA and the Board. We |
| disagree, as we explain in our response to San |
| Francisco's comments. There are several legal |
| bases for the requirement, including federal |
| regulations and the combined sewer overflow |
| control policy. Moreover, the requirements |
| consistent with USCPA guidance and requirements |

| imposed in consent decrees and other combined |
|---|
| sewer systems. The response to comments also |
| lists several facts that highlight the importance |
| of this requirement. For example, the City |
| discharges combined wastewater and stormwater |
| through near shore outfalls and these discharges |
| are associated with elevated bacterias and |
| concentrations. Furthermore, not only have the |
| facilities changed since constructed, with |
| additional changes planned, recreational use |
| patterns change too, and we've only begun to |
| understand the impacts of climate change. For |
| example, extreme rain events in California are |
| expected to become more frequent and intense and |
| sea levels are rising, as we talked about |
| earlier. The permit retains the long-term |
| control plan update requirement incorporating |
| many revisions proposed by San Francisco. Of |
| note, the revised permit extends several |
| compliance states. The required update tasks are |
| detailed and specific while also providing |
| flexibility for San Francisco to determine the |
| precise means of compliance. San Francisco also |
| objects to two of our standard provisions. |
| First, San Francisco objects to a receiving water |

| limitation that would prohibit discharges that |
|--|
| cause or contribute to violations of water |
| quality standards. Second, San Francisco objects |
| to an existing prohibition against discharges |
| creating pollution, contamination or nuisance. |
| San Francisco argues that these requirements are |
| inconsistent with applicable law and unsupported |
| by facts. San Francisco is also concerned that |
| the requirements create uncertainty with respect |
| to liability. We disagree and we explain this in |
| our response to San Francisco's comments. We did |
| not revise the tentative order because the |
| proposed requirements are consistent with the |
| Clean Water Act, Combined Sewer Overflow Control |
| Policy, NPDS regulations, State water quality |
| standards and State law. Furthermore, the |
| receiving water limitation and discharge |
| prohibition serve as backstops in the event that |
| the effluent limitations and other provisions in |
| the permit prove to be inadequate. A similar |
| receiving water limitation appears in nearly all |
| NPDS permits in the region, including San |
| Francisco's NPDS permit for the Bayside. |
| Similarly, the discharge prohibition has also |
| been in nearly all NPDS permits in the region |

| 1 | since at least 1993, including San Francisco's |
|----|--|
| 2 | previous permits. When the Board most recently |
| 3 | updated the regional standard provisions, it |
| 4 | retained this provision. So, as you've seen, |
| 5 | it's a complicated facility both physically and |
| 6 | from the regulatory perspective. We have worked |
| 7 | very closely with USCPA on this and are happy to |
| 8 | present the revised tentative Order to the Board |
| 9 | for consideration with a couple of minor |
| 10 | revisions that are detailed in the supplemental |
| 11 | that we passed out. And USCPA is prepared to |
| 12 | approve the revised tentative Order when and if |
| 13 | the Board approves it. Thanks. |
| 14 | JAMES MCGRATH: Are there any questions |
| 15 | from the Board of the staff? |
| 16 | DR. NEWSHA AJAMI: Can we ask questions |
| 17 | about the permit or are we doing that later? |
| 18 | JAMES MCGRATH: Well, if you've got |
| 19 | questions that would make sense to have asked |
| 20 | now, with an opportunity for San Francisco to |
| 21 | comment, yes, go ahead and ask those questions |
| 22 | now. |
| 23 | DR. NEWSHA AJAMI: Okay. I'm actually |
| 24 | slightly concerned about the modifications that |
| 25 | have been made to the permits, especially the |
| | |

| 1 | ones that are putting time rather than |
|----|--|
| 2 | sunrise/sunset. |
| 3 | JAMES MCGRATH: In the supplement? |
| 4 | DR. NEWSHA AJAMI: In the supplement. |
| 5 | So, I live in the city, people can go to the |
| 6 | beach after 4pm. I have taken my kids after 4pm |
| 7 | to the beach and I understand there are rainy |
| 8 | days and they're wet and obviously not many |
| 9 | people go to the beach probably at that day, but |
| 10 | I think that I liked what we had before, the |
| 11 | whole sunset/sunrise. Also, people surf in these |
| 12 | waters way before 8am. Why do we have, like, |
| 13 | 4pm, 8am? Is this more of a, like, you don't |
| 14 | want people to work overtime, so we want to make |
| 15 | sure they so, I'll let you answer that |
| 16 | question. |
| 17 | BILL JOHNSON: This is Bill Johnson. |
| 18 | I'm the Chief of the NPDS Wastewater Division. |
| 19 | We spent a lot of time thinking about that |
| 20 | provision as you can tell in the response to |
| 21 | comments. We went one way and then we met with |
| 22 | San Francisco a little bit more and went a |
| 23 | different way. For San Francisco, one of their |
| 24 | concerns is the amount of time it takes to post |
| 25 | the beach, so this permit really is to just the |
| | |

| oceanside of their system, but they also have a |
|---|
| southeast system and if there's discharges |
| happening on the oceanside, there's probably also |
| discharges happening on the bay side, so the |
| amount of time it takes them to actually post all |
| the beaches for the entire city is about two |
| hours. So, they need some time in order to do |
| that and for safety reasons, they'd rather not do |
| it after dark and in some locations, they don't |
| actually have access like if it's park service |
| land, they can't actually get to it after dark. |
| So, we talked to them a little bit about it and |
| what I finally came to realize myself, is that |
| these discharges happen primarily during the |
| wintertime when the days are short, and the |
| sunset actually happens pretty soon. So, if you |
| think about 4 o'clock, sunset may be closer to |
| 6pm or 7pm so you're not actually so if |
| discharge happens after 4, they may or may not |
| get the full two hours to do it. In fact, we |
| were thinking, in fact, that this may give them |
| even less time, but it gives them the certainty |
| in terms of the time, it's just easier for them |
| to know what the expectation is and to know what |
| our and in terms of enforcement, it's easier |

| 1 | to say, "Hey, it was 4 o'clock" or it wasn't. |
|----|---|
| 2 | And so, the next day, in terms of the 8 o'clock |
| 3 | time frame, again, how late does it take the sun |
| 4 | to come up in the morning during the wintertime |
| 5 | and so, at times, it could be, not that much |
| 6 | sooner than 8 o'clock, it would be, like, 7, so |
| 7 | it's not necessarily giving them the full two |
| 8 | hours either. |
| 9 | DR. NEWSHA AJAMI: But does this mean |
| 10 | that they can't so, okay, so there are two |
| 11 | things: one is, physically going and posting |
| 12 | every one of these locations; the other one is |
| 13 | having on-line warnings or sending tweets to |
| 14 | people that they don't go do this. I wonder if |
| 15 | there's a way to differentiate between an online |
| 16 | outreach before, like right after they start |
| 17 | releasing rather than and then saying, okay, |
| 18 | so then posting on the beach so, I assume if |
| 19 | somebody is a savvy surfer, they might already |
| 20 | know this is happening so they may check earlier. |
| 21 | So, is there a way we can differentiate between |
| 22 | how they are posting? Can it be differentiated |
| 23 | between the physical posting and also virtual |
| 24 | posting, online posting? |
| 25 | BILL JOHNSON: The short answer is yes |
| | |

| 1 | and if you give me a second, while maybe when |
|----|---|
| 2 | you break for lunch, I can take a look at that |
| 3 | and see if there's something we can do. It also |
| 4 | might be worth asking San Francisco, since |
| 5 | they've got a number of representatives here and |
| 6 | maybe they can tell you exactly what their |
| 7 | typical practice is for those two different kinds |
| 8 | of notifications. The ones the actual signs on |
| 9 | the beaches versus those technology ones, which |
| 10 | should be a lot faster. Did you have anything |
| 11 | you wanted to add or not? |
| 12 | JESSICA WATKINS: We have the |
| 13 | electronic notification separately from the |
| 14 | warning signs, under (d) on page 18. So, they're |
| 15 | separated out, so (d) is: "The discharger shall |
| 16 | provide electronic notification" |
| 17 | DR. NEWSHA AJAMI: Can you tell me |
| 18 | which page you are looking? |
| 19 | JESSICA WATKINS: Page 18. |
| 20 | DR. NEWSHA AJAMI: Page 18. Okay. |
| 21 | BILL JOHNSON: Does it give a time? It |
| 22 | doesn't give a timeframe? |
| 23 | JESSICA WATKINS: There's no timeframe |
| 24 | for that, but Amy's right here. I don't know if |
| 25 | you'd like to say anything. |
| | D 10 |

Page 19

| 1 | AMY CHASTAIN: I can. |
|----|---|
| 2 | DR. NEWSHA AJAMI: Yeah, I mean, it |
| 3 | would be good to have timing for that, at least. |
| 4 | And I would say they should actually post right |
| 5 | after they start releasing. Why wouldn't they? |
| 6 | BILL JOHNSON: Right. Right. And I am |
| 7 | going to put words into San Francisco's mouth, |
| 8 | but I don't think that they would necessarily |
| 9 | object to doing it as soon as they can. It might |
| 10 | take them a little while, but maybe we can have a |
| 11 | conversation with them and find out what kind of |
| 12 | timeframe is workable. |
| 13 | JAMES MCGRATH: Other questions about |
| 14 | the staff presentation? I did have one. There's |
| 15 | testimony that we've received about concerns |
| 16 | about flooding and backup. Since the City has |
| 17 | two different systems, I want to make sure that |
| 18 | we know the location of the comments and whether |
| 19 | or not they're on the Westside or on the Bayside. |
| 20 | There are specific incidents that they refer to |
| 21 | and I want to make sure that we know whether or |
| 22 | not it's on the area covered by this permit or |
| 23 | the area covered by the Bayside permit. |
| 24 | JESSICA WATKINS: Yeah, I think that |
| 25 | the issues that the public brings up may be more |
| | |

Page 20

| 1 | representative of what's happening on the |
|----|---|
| 2 | Bayside, but there are flooding areas of |
| 3 | flooding |
| 4 | JAMES MCGRATH: It does happen on this |
| 5 | side, as well. |
| 6 | JESSICA WATKINS: Yeah, so if you look |
| 7 | at San Francisco's flood planning documentation |
| 8 | that's available online, you could see that |
| 9 | they're planning or I don't know what the |
| 10 | stages of the planning are, but, they're aware of |
| 11 | areas like 15 th and Wobona and I think San |
| 12 | Francisco could give more details, but there are |
| 13 | at least two or three locations on the Oceanside. |
| 14 | JAMES MCGRATH: Okay. Any other |
| 15 | questions? So, let's turn to San Francisco. If |
| 16 | they can if we can, kind of, hold together and |
| 17 | get to their presentations, then we'll look at |
| 18 | breaking for lunch. I see Amy, you've got it all |
| 19 | organized? |
| 20 | AMY CHASTAIN: (indiscernible) |
| 21 | JAMES MCGRATH: I have cards from you, |
| 22 | Amy Chastain, Greg Norby, and I know the guy that |
| 23 | used to work here too, Michael Carlin, so however |
| 24 | you want to present it, come on up. |
| 25 | AMY CHASTAIN: Thanks. Well, good |
| | Page 21 |
| | |

| 1 | afternoon. Let me be the first to say good |
|----|---|
| 2 | afternoon to you Board members. My name is Amy |
| 3 | Chastain. I'm a manager with the Wastewater |
| 4 | Enterprise of the San Francisco Public Utilities |
| 5 | Commission and I think that I just want to |
| 6 | point out who we do have here. We have our |
| 7 | Deputy General Manager, Michael Carlin, who |
| 8 | submitted a card; we have our Assistant General |
| 9 | Manager who is the head of wastewater, Greg |
| 10 | Norby, who is here; and we also have |
| 11 | representatives from the City Attorney's office, |
| 12 | John Roddy and Estee I'm sorry Estee, I don't |
| 13 | know how to pronounce your last name so, I |
| 14 | want to good, I was curious about that. I |
| 15 | promise to not take more than 10 minutes, but I'd |
| 16 | be really pleased if I could have a little bit |
| 17 | beyond the standard three-minute comment time |
| 18 | frame. Part of the reason is, I believe that, |
| 19 | unless I miss something, which hopefully I won't |
| 20 | since all my bosses are in front of me, Greg and |
| 21 | Michael will not be speaking today and hopefully |
| 22 | I'll be able to answer all your questions. So, I |
| 23 | have three issues that I wanted to discuss today |
| 24 | and share with you and I'm going to dispense with |
| 25 | the very straightforward one first. And that is, |

| 1 | if you turn to I forget, I had the page number |
|----|---|
| 2 | on my permit, but if you look at the shoreline |
| 3 | and Newsha, this is actually touching on some of |
| 4 | your concerns, so I'll try to address your |
| 5 | questions at the same time I address those. So, |
| 6 | Tables E-11 and E-12 of the permit require |
| 7 | they specify the shoreline monitoring |
| 8 | requirements for San Francisco. So, we sample, |
| 9 | and have for decades, the shorelines weekly and |
| 10 | then after every combined sewer discharge and |
| 11 | then we also follow standard protocols which are |
| 12 | sampled daily until elevated bacteria levels are |
| 13 | below an appropriate threshold. So, the issue |
| 14 | with that is if you look at the three indicator |
| 15 | bacteria and, you know, I like talking to this |
| 16 | Board because we have so many technical geeks on |
| 17 | it that I can see fecal indicator bacteria and |
| 18 | you know what I'm talking about. It's not always |
| 19 | true. So, the three fecal indicator bacterias |
| 20 | specified for shoreline monitoring, enterococcus, |
| 21 | fecal coliform and total coliforms. So, our |
| 22 | current permit does not require fecal coliform |
| 23 | analysis to be performed. |
| 24 | TOM: (indiscernible) because I think |
| 25 | the Board members on Page E-16. |

| 1 | JAMES MCGRATH: I just found it. |
|----|---|
| 2 | AMY CHASTAIN: You got it? Thanks Tom. |
| 3 | TOM: You're getting a little bit ahead |
| 4 | of him. |
| 5 | AMY CHASTAIN: So, our current permit, |
| 6 | which has been in place for more than a decade at |
| 7 | this point, does not require fecal coliform, it |
| 8 | requires e-coli. Again, these are all indicator |
| 9 | bacteria. So currently, our laboratory is not e- |
| 10 | lab certified, which is the certification process |
| 11 | they need to go through to be able to do certain |
| 12 | laboratory analyses that we submit for client |
| 13 | compliance purposes. This is required by a |
| 14 | permit and needs to be the analyses need to be |
| 15 | performed by an e-lab certified lab. Our lab is |
| 16 | not currently certified for fecal coliform, but |
| 17 | we are initiating that process so that we can |
| 18 | become certified. So, our request here, which is |
| 19 | not in our comments, is that we be allowed to |
| 20 | analyze our samples for enterococcus and total |
| 21 | coliform in the interim until we become |
| 22 | certified. So, that's the easy part. And |
| 23 | Newsha, to answer your question and to provide |
| 24 | context for the rest of the Board, so there are |
| 25 | multiple mechanisms to notify the public of when |
| | |

| there are combined sewer overflows and some of |
|---|
| you know that I used to, but now that I moved to |
| East Bay, I don't anymore, swim for the Southend, |
| and so I'm definitely, you know, vested in and |
| care about our water quality. So, there's the |
| physical posting and that is the most time |
| intensive. It's literally going around all the |
| city. All of those signage locations are not |
| owned by the City and County in San Francisco. |
| It's actually mostly federal government, if you |
| think about it and some state parks. We do have |
| concerns about safety at some of these locations |
| and posting at night and access, as, I think, |
| your staff eluded or they didn't elude to, |
| they were very articulate about it. So, that's |
| one piece. The other part is, we do have a |
| website that has an interactive map that sounds |
| like it looks like Newsha's seen that. That's |
| updated in the office through for you geeks, |
| through our laboratory information management |
| system prior to the physical posting. Ditto with |
| the email that goes out. There's an email to a |
| group of folks and then there's also a hotline. |
| And we are in the process of, kind of, |
| holistically evaluating our public communications |

| around these overflows. It's pretty robust, I |
|---|
| think, considering they happen seven times a |
| year, but I think savvy people would be going to |
| our website and be checking the hotline and the |
| website is now app phone friendly. We used to |
| have an app, but my IT department tells me that |
| you don't need apps anymore, nobody's doing that |
| anymore. They're moving to the web-based |
| platform. So, I think I'd like us to talk a |
| little bit, maybe, at the break with your staff |
| about your concerns. I think, we work closely |
| with our Department of Public Health. We have no |
| concerns at this point based on any information |
| available to us about the efficacy of our public |
| health communication programs. We do have a lot |
| of concerns about the very extensive I sound |
| like such a discharger and those of you that knew |
| me, Jim, 15 years ago, would be appreciative of |
| this these are really prescriptive permits. |
| These are some of the longest, most prescriptive |
| permits in the country and I just want to caution |
| us against putting too many deadlines into an |
| NPDS permit or maybe we can find other ways to |
| address these concerns and just ask the question: |
| is it appropriate as an NPDS permit requirement |

| versus some other mechanism. So, the two other |
|---|
| issues and I've struggled with what to say, |
| but you've all, presumably, read our submittals |
| and our comments in response to comments so I |
| think my challenge today and I don't know if |
| I'm up to the task, but I'm going to try, is to |
| try to communicate in, like, real-people speak |
| what our fundamental concerns are and so, there |
| are two of them. The terminology here gets |
| really, really confusing quickly, so one relates |
| to what are called: sewer overflows in the |
| combined sewer system and these are instances |
| where sanitary flows or a mixture of sanitary |
| flows and stormwater come out of the combined |
| system like the photos you saw. Those do not |
| reach waters of the U.S. What happens is the |
| surcharge, the main will surcharge and then the |
| waters the flow goes back into an adjacent |
| catch basin and goes back into the combined sewer |
| collection system. The second are the combined |
| sewer overflows or combined sewer discharges is |
| what we've been calling them in our permits, and |
| those are the actual discharges seven times a |
| year on average for the past, since 1997, that |
| reached surface waters in our discharge. So, we |

| have concerns about we have strong |
|---|
| disagreement about the legal bases for the permit |
| requirements related to both sewer overflows in |
| the combined sewer system and also the LTCP |
| update, which relates to the combined sewer |
| overflows that reach surface waters. I think |
| that you can read all of the detail that you need |
| about that in our comments and then also the |
| response to comments, but fundamentally, we have |
| a legal disagreement about whether those are |
| appropriately whether you can appropriately |
| regulate sewer overflows in the combined sewer |
| system via an NPDS permit under the Federal or |
| State Clean Water Act. And then secondly, with |
| respect to the combined sewer overflow, that |
| one's a little bit more nuanced. Jessica did an |
| excellent job of presenting our standard |
| presenting our current level of performance, |
| which is, on the oceanside, we have eight |
| outfalls. On average, since 1997, they have |
| discharged seven times discharge in seven |
| storm events. So, we're talking seven to ten |
| days a year, depending on how long the storm |
| lasts, of receiving water quality impacts. So, |
| it was very, very brief when you look at it |

| holistically. We've done extensive recuse |
|---|
| surveys that show that the actual recuse except |
| for those nutty, nutty surfers, maybe, is very, |
| very limited. It's what you would expect. Ocean |
| Beach is a dangerous beach with strong riptides |
| and currents and surf during storm events. So, |
| what's not what hasn't been what is shared |
| with you in the context of the permit and the |
| record before you, but wasn't in Jessica's |
| presentation, is how we got to this point. So, |
| USCPA and the State mandated that the City of San |
| Francisco reduce combined sewer within |
| combined sewer overflows to no more than eight |
| per year on the ocean side. This was, kind of, |
| the precursor to the CSO Control Policy. So, |
| they say, "This is your performance standard. If |
| you get to eight a year based on the monitoring |
| and modeling that you've done, beneficial uses |
| will be protected." So, San Francisco built that |
| system that includes that huge transport storage |
| box, new ocean side treatment plant, so increased |
| storage and treatment capacity and has been |
| operating it since 1997. The actual performance |
| of the system is better than what was initially |
| predicted, based on modeling. So, where we are |

| today in terms of having to do an LTCP update is, |
|---|
| our understanding from all of the information |
| that we've collected and submitted is that the |
| current level of wet weather controls is |
| protecting beneficial uses. So, the requirement |
| to do a long-term control plan is not a rinse and |
| repeat requirement. You do it once, you only re- |
| do it if either, (1) you didn't meet the |
| performance standards that you thought you were |
| going to meet or (2) the information available to |
| you through post-construction monitoring shows |
| that you're not protecting beneficial uses. |
| Neither of those situations is applicable here. |
| So, where we're at is with is trying to accept |
| a permit requirement that requires us to update a |
| long-term control plan, which to be blunt about |
| it, is a giant, lengthy, capital process for |
| virtually every other combined sewer system in |
| the country. So, requirement to update that, but |
| it feels a little bit like we don't know why and |
| we don't know how much and I think what we're |
| concerned about or not, think, I know one of |
| the things we're concerned about is it will be a |
| "we know it when we see it" approach to |
| regulation, which creates a lot of uncertainty. |

| San Francisco is like every other utility in the |
|---|
| Bay area. It's too bad that Jackie Kepkey is not |
| here any longer. We're making massive re- |
| investments in our existing infrastructure and |
| trying to balance those investments against a |
| whole other portfolio of needs. We cannot afford |
| to touch on some of the comments you heard in the |
| resiliency context. We cannot afford, as a |
| community or as a society, to spend any one |
| dollar that only helps us achieve one goal. |
| Every dollar spent on infrastructure needs to |
| make sure that it's in good shape, needs to |
| provide additional environmental benefits, needs |
| to prepare us in some way, shape or form for |
| climate change and so, our fear is, that the LTCP |
| update will focus narrowly on how much volume is |
| discharged or how many times it discharges and |
| completely ignore the public impacts, the costs |
| or other benefits that we could be achieving |
| through a more integrated approach to planning. |
| So that's oh wait, Jim, you had a comment. I |
| hope that made sense. It all makes sense in my |
| mind because I've been living and breathing it |
| for so long. Jim, Bayside versus Oceanside, |
| those photos from the website, |

| 1 | solutionsnotsandbags, I'd have to check. I |
|----|--|
| 2 | believe that most of those were taken on the |
| 3 | Bayside. |
| 4 | (indiscernible) |
| 5 | JAMES MCGRATH: Jane first and then |
| 6 | Newsha. |
| 7 | JAYNE BATTEY: I just want to try to |
| 8 | understand what's involved with the long-term |
| 9 | JAMES MCGRATH: Can you use your mic? |
| 10 | JAYNE BATTEY: Sure. I'm sorry. I'm |
| 11 | so relaxed I forgot to use the mic. The long- |
| 12 | term control plan, I just want to understand a |
| 13 | little better what's involved. If I think I |
| 14 | heard you say the plan is working |
| 15 | AMY CHASTAIN: We have we had a plan |
| 16 | that was a big capital plan. We constructed it. |
| 17 | It is performing it's providing the level of |
| 18 | weather control that it was designed to perform. |
| 19 | JAYNE BATTEY: So, can you say a little |
| 20 | bit more about the cost of doing updating a |
| 21 | plan or doing a new plan and, I guess I'm a |
| 22 | little confused about I mean, I would assume |
| 23 | with all that is going on with sea level rise in |
| 24 | the |
| 25 | AMY CHASTAIN: Yeah. |
| | |

| 1 | JAYNE BATTEY: the what we're |
|----|---|
| 2 | looking at as we go forward, I would think you |
| 3 | would want to keep doing long-term planning. I |
| 4 | must be confused. |
| 5 | AMY CHASTAIN: No, those are you're |
| 6 | not confused. Those are on point, I think. So, |
| 7 | the capital plan that we developed that |
| 8 | resulted in the construction of our current |
| 9 | treatment plants and storage. That is meeting |
| 10 | the designed performance expectations today. The |
| 11 | in terms of capital planning, any long-term |
| 12 | control plan is not it's just one part of an |
| 13 | overall capital plan. The City and County of San |
| 14 | Francisco and the Wastewater Enterprise are |
| 15 | undertaking massive capital plannings constantly. |
| 16 | We have a two-year budget cycle where a 10-year |
| 17 | CIP gets revisited on that cycle, so, it's just |
| 18 | planning around regulatory requirements is just |
| 19 | one piece of our greater capital plan. And maybe |
| 20 | it would in terms of my point about spending - |
| 21 | - the dollars spent on infrastructure, I mean, |
| 22 | maybe it would help if I gave a specific example. |
| 23 | The Sea Cliff area, which Jessica showed on the |
| 24 | presentation, there are three outfalls there. |
| 25 | They've discharged very, very small volumes of |
| | |

| water and it's their discharge is mostly |
|---|
| driven by a small stormwater catch mitt that's in |
| the Sea Cliff neighborhood. We know those pump |
| stations need to be rehabilitated. They're on |
| federal land, so that's been challenging. |
| There's easement issues that we that's a |
| priority for us to rehabilitate those pump |
| stations. So, we looked at that watershed |
| holistically and asked, "Okay, if we know we have |
| this need to rehab these pump stations, but we |
| also have other objectives, how can we accomplish |
| multiple objectives through that rehabilitation?" |
| and the result is, we're implementing a green |
| infrastructure project in that catch mitt that's |
| going to further reduce stormwater loading to |
| that pump station and provide some water quality |
| benefits and then we also are looking at |
| modifications to the pump station itself to see |
| if we can achieve additional other benefits. So, |
| the driver here is protection of our existing |
| infrastructure. But whenever we look at how |
| those what other we always want to look at, |
| what are the other objectives that a dollar spent |
| on reinvesting in your infrastructure can help |
| you achieve. That's one example. Thanks. |

| 1 | DR. NEWSHA AJAMI: I've got a question. |
|-----|---|
| 2 | I did not have a question. |
| 3 | JAMES MCGRATH: There are certainly |
| 4 | questions. I think we understand your argument. |
| 5 | I think you've articulated it better than I |
| 6 | understood it from reading the response letters |
| 7 | and the comments. I'll paraphrase: three things |
| 8 | that I've heard, first you're arguing it's not |
| 9 | our business if water comes out of the pipe and |
| 10 | goes into the street if it goes back into the |
| 11 | pipe before it reaches the ocean. So, we'll talk |
| 12 | to our lawyers and our staff about whether or not |
| 13 | we agree with that when we come to the process of |
| L 4 | discussing the merits of the project. The second |
| 15 | thing you're arguing, which is also, I think a |
| 16 | legal issue, but I want to make sure that they're |
| L 7 | highlighted so they can be discussed, and our |
| 18 | lawyers can give us advice. You're arguing |
| 19 | something akin to a vested right interest that, |
| 20 | because there was a mandate to reduce overflows |
| 21 | to eight per year and you built a system pursuant |
| 22 | to that that's performing at seven a year, that |
| 23 | you have a right to continue to use that and that |
| 24 | that's a standard and continues to have some |
| 25 | legal authority. I'm simplifying these so I can |
| | |

| 1 | hold them in my mind and so we can sharpen them |
|----|---|
| 2 | up. Is that a and then there's the third |
| 3 | question of, given that, and given the |
| 4 | uncertainty of what might come out, you're |
| 5 | worried about a long-term control plan revisions, |
| 6 | but you haven't really talked about the cost. |
| 7 | Have I got your three issues identified? |
| 8 | AMY CHASTAIN: Can I I'd like to |
| 9 | tweak the first two. I think |
| 10 | JAMES MCGRATH: Sure. |
| 11 | AMY CHASTAIN: in significant ways. |
| 12 | With respect to what's it's easier for me if |
| 13 | we call it just flooding, like flooding in the |
| 14 | combined sewer systems, but the source being the |
| 15 | collection system. This is a legal issue, but |
| 16 | it's also a policy issue and a governance issue. |
| 17 | So, during as Jessica's presentation showed, |
| 18 | during dry weather, our pipes act like any other |
| 19 | separate like any separate sanitary sewer |
| 20 | system. Their purpose is to convey sewage from |
| 21 | homes and businesses to our treatment plant and |
| 22 | do that without having it be on the surface of |
| 23 | the street. When wet weather hits, our combined |
| 24 | sewer system becomes mostly a stormwater |
| 25 | conveyance system. If you look at the size of |
| | |

| our pipes, they are much larger. Most of our big |
|---|
| most of our big most of our, like, pipes in |
| down the middle of the street are the size of, |
| like, other agency's interceptors. I mean, the |
| scale of these is it's very apparent they're |
| designed to carry stormwater. So, the question |
| for you guys, it's not apart from the legal |
| argument, it is: do you want to get into the |
| business of mandating or regulating how someone |
| sizes their stormwater conveyance systems because |
| that's the situation that we're faced with here. |
| There are small amounts of sanitary flow and |
| that's why this is a topic here, but the vast |
| majority in wet weather when these events occur, |
| the system is full of stormwater and those pipes, |
| their primary function is to move stormwater, not |
| sanitary flow. So, Jim, that's the one |
| distinction I would make, that's it's not just |
| that this is inappropriate under Clean Water Act |
| framework for regulation, but it's also, kind of, |
| from a policy, good government's perspective, not |
| necessarily it's something that we would have |
| concerns about the Regional Water Board getting |
| involved in. |
| JAMES MCGRATH: Okay. |

Page 37

| 1 | AMY CHASTAIN: Ok, sorry, with CSDs, |
|----|---|
| 2 | it's not that we're viewing it as a vested right |
| 3 | and I'm sorry for not being clear, but the point |
| 4 | is that all of the information that we have |
| 5 | submitted and is available to us, which is a lot |
| 6 | of information, indicates that the current level |
| 7 | of overflow control is protecting our Pacific |
| 8 | Ocean. That further reductions are not needed to |
| 9 | meet the water quality-based requirements of the |
| 10 | Clean Water Act. So, not the vested right |
| 11 | thing it always, kind of, make me frazzled |
| 12 | because I don't I don't think that I like |
| 13 | to look at people's vested rights with scrutiny, |
| 14 | but we're not claiming that we did it and we're |
| 15 | one and done. We're saying, the information on |
| 16 | the table today shows that we are protecting |
| 17 | beneficial uses. |
| 18 | JAMES MCGRATH: Okay. |
| 19 | AMY CHASTAIN: Thank you. |
| 20 | DR. NEWSHA AJAMI: Jim, can I actually |
| 21 | ask a question? Sorry, I was trying to go back |
| 22 | to some of the tables I looked at a couple of |
| 23 | days ago and I found them. Actually, there's on |
| 24 | on F-8, which shows your combined sewer overflow. |
| 25 | And you can see what I see in this table is |
| | Page 38 |
| | |

| 1 | that every time we get higher than about 30 or 28 |
|----|---|
| 2 | inches of rain, there is significant sewer |
| 3 | overflow. |
| 4 | AMY CHASTAIN: The average yeah, an |
| 5 | average rainfall |
| 6 | DR. NEWSHA AJAMI: For example, 2016-17 |
| 7 | was a very, very wet year, right? |
| 8 | AMY CHASTAIN: Right. Mmm hmm. |
| 9 | DR. NEWSHA AJAMI: 13, 13, 13, right? |
| 10 | 14 in the Seacliff #2, right? |
| 11 | AMY CHASTAIN: Mmm hmm. |
| 12 | DR. NEWSHA AJAMI: And then so, you |
| 13 | see that that's, sort of like, very similar to |
| 14 | the 2005-06, again, another wet year. 09-10 |
| 15 | sorry, 10-11, the system worked well, I'm |
| 16 | assuming because this rainfall was distributed |
| 17 | over time by there then being one major |
| 18 | rainstorm. But, you know, what makes two |
| 19 | questions here: one is, you know, living in the |
| 20 | city, I see, like, tons of these, like, little |
| 21 | medians that are coming up, the roundabouts, all |
| 22 | these different green infrastructure, which I'm |
| 23 | assuming that's why they're, sort of, being |
| 24 | worked around. Have you seen any improvements in |
| 25 | in the way that street flooding, in addition |
| | Dago 20 |

| 1 | to, like, overall overflows you have, I would |
|----|---|
| 2 | say, from my experience, I have seen some of |
| 3 | these streets getting flooded less, but I'm not |
| 4 | sure it's that because of that or something else. |
| 5 | So and also, I mean, 13 is a huge number, 9 |
| 6 | right above it. They're very large numbers, so, |
| 7 | you're still, like, seeing years that they have a |
| 8 | lot of overflow large number of overflows. |
| 9 | I'm expecting more and more of these intense |
| 10 | rains, right? That's the issue, right? |
| 11 | AMY CHASTAIN: That a I knew Newsha |
| 12 | was going to ask the difficult questions because |
| 13 | of the hydraulic engineering background, so, so, |
| 14 | CSDs, the ones that reach receiving waters, they |
| 15 | are really driven by the total volume of rainfall |
| 16 | with every storm event and the antecedent |
| 17 | conditions. So, if it's a we get a series of |
| 18 | small storms back to back and then we get an El |
| 19 | Nino type storm, like, you're guaranteed, based |
| 20 | on the circumstances, to max out your treatment |
| 21 | capacity your treatment capacity and your |
| 22 | storage capacity. Flooding is really driven by |
| 23 | that peak 5, 10, 15-minute intensity of the storm |
| 24 | event. And those are so, the hydraulic and |
| 25 | the what is it the rainfall factors |
| | |

| 1 | hydraulic and hydrologic, thank you factors |
|----|---|
| 2 | that drive each of these events are very |
| 3 | different, so the way I wouldn't want to |
| 4 | speculate in front of you guys about performance |
| 5 | of the system, but I am going to geek out a |
| 6 | little bit. We have really great tools to |
| 7 | simulate that. We have an extremely well- |
| 8 | calibrated H&H model that contains every single |
| 9 | pipe in the entire system. We simulate a typical |
| 10 | year storm, which is, kind of, the industry |
| 11 | standards for evaluating your CSO performance and |
| 12 | then we also simulate design storms based on |
| 13 | extensive historic rainfall data sets and as |
| 14 | Jessica mentioned, if you go on our website, |
| 15 | you'll see flood notification maps and flood |
| 16 | hazard communications that are all based on that. |
| 17 | The model's been extensively calibrated and |
| 18 | validated. So, we use it to answer questions |
| 19 | like you just posed, but I wouldn't be prepared |
| 20 | to answer that today. |
| 21 | JAMES MCGRATH: So, we've heard from |
| 22 | San Francisco. Greg, did you have anything to |
| 23 | add or are you going to go with Amy here? |
| 24 | AMY CHASTAIN: Oh, okay, Michael |
| 25 | JAMES MCGRATH: So, we understand |
| | Dago 41 |

| 1 | you're not happy. That's clear. So and we're |
|----|---|
| 2 | not going to have a chance to discuss this before |
| 3 | lunch in our closed session, so the question is: |
| 4 | we have three more cards. Do we want to hear |
| 5 | briefly from them and then take the lunch? |
| 6 | DR. NEWSHA AJAMI: As long as you are |
| 7 | okay, I'm you know, I'm |
| 8 | AMY CHASTAIN: I just want to say thank |
| 9 | you |
| 10 | JAMES MCGRATH: Lisa Lisa Dunseff. |
| 11 | Please try to hold it to three minutes. After |
| 12 | that, David Hooper. |
| 13 | LISA DUNSEFF: Thank you so much. Good |
| 14 | afternoon. Excuse me. Let's see. My name is |
| 15 | Lisa Dunseff. I'm a San Francisco resident and |
| 16 | I'm here to present represent |
| 17 | solutionsnotsandbags and to speak in behalf of my |
| 18 | neighbors who have suffered for many years from |
| 19 | the San Francisco PUC's negligence. The PUC |
| 20 | thank you thank you sorry. The PUC has |
| 21 | knowingly allowed sewage to contaminate my |
| 22 | neighbors' homes, businesses, sidewalks and |
| 23 | streets for many, many years and my neighbors are |
| 24 | all people working-class people in my |
| 25 | neighborhood with jobs and families and they |
| | Page 42 |

| can't afford to come to these meetings. So, |
|---|
| that's why I'm here. The PUC has acknowledged |
| the sewer flooding problem and yes, those two |
| photos were from the Bayside. They even proposed |
| solutions in the past. But for decades, they |
| have over and over again refused to correct the |
| infrastructure problems which cause it. And |
| perhaps many of the staff here today didn't even |
| work here work for the PUC then. I don't |
| know. They always say there isn't enough money, |
| but we all know they have a lot of money. The |
| Goliath that is the PUC is a powerful, economic |
| engine. They are used to acting with impunity |
| and they continue to ignore pleas to protect the |
| public health and safety of all of the citizens |
| of San Francisco, including those who live in the |
| Cayuca area, which is on the Bayside. This |
| wouldn't be happening in Pacific Heights, in |
| other words. So, I'm here today just to say to - |
| - I want to appreciate Jessica's report. I |
| really appreciate the staff's work and we look to |
| you for your leadership because we need some |
| leadership. So, please use your authority in any |
| way you can to require the PUC to make public |
| health and safety their first priority in all of |

| 1 | their enterprises. Thank you very much for your |
|----|---|
| 2 | time and for considering this request. |
| 3 | JAMES MCGRATH: Thank you. David |
| 4 | Hooper. |
| 5 | DAVID HOOPER: Good afternoon. I also |
| 6 | serve on solutionsnotsandbags. Commonly when the |
| 7 | Winter storms are coming our way, the PUC |
| 8 | accommodates the communities affected by it on |
| 9 | the west side and Engleside Terrace near Victoria |
| 10 | and Urbano at 15 th and Wawona, in particular, |
| 11 | regarding this issue today. |
| 12 | Solutionsnotsandbags. When the PUC responded to |
| 13 | the Water Board's projections, they didn't |
| 14 | respond specifically and mention public health. |
| 15 | They talk about the minimization it's almost |
| 16 | all stormwater, it's not fecal matter, but we're |
| 17 | supposed to measure fecal matter and figure out |
| 18 | just what the problem is. When their people |
| 19 | respond to empty out the basements and the ground |
| 20 | floors of the homes affected, they are all in |
| 21 | hazmat. They have a projection to protect the |
| 22 | people who work for them through contractors, but |
| 23 | not the homeowners. We're told that we have to |
| 24 | have a dollar do more than one thing and if the |
| 25 | one thing is protecting these homes, then it |
| | |

| isn't going to get done. I I can't describe |
|---|
| how people can enter a having worked for the |
| City myself and retired from them and tried to do |
| my job responsibly, I can't begin to understand |
| the conflicts for people who have to represent |
| the City to you on this basis. It's on the basis |
| of exceptionalism. We are the exception. All of |
| the other cities that have been representing |
| themselves here around the Bay area, especially |
| on the Bay and on the coast, all know they have |
| to work together, but we have an agency that |
| decides that we're going to decide whether or not |
| we report to you. There's no data, there's no |
| accountability and you're told that there is no |
| legal basis for your requiring them to respond |
| and report. This is a dismal situation. It's my |
| city, it's everybody else's city and yet there's |
| no response. Now, what the PUC, Harlem Kelly and |
| Stephanie Johnson, get up there and they |
| acknowledge, yes, it is fecal matter that's |
| entered their homes. They have to tear off all |
| of the sheetrock on the ground floor. The |
| tenants of ground floors have to go. We have to |
| reach an agreement once somebody has to go to the |
| trouble of filing lawsuits and we poo-poo it, but |

| 1 | it doesn't become a matter for examination unless |
|----|---|
| 2 | there's reporting, unless there's an |
| 3 | acknowledgment, unless there's data. So yes, |
| 4 | when it comes up into the ground and then it goes |
| 5 | into the ground again. My gosh, we have |
| 6 | underground creeks still in San Francisco that |
| 7 | enter down and those creeks include this water as |
| 8 | well. Just because most of it goes back into the |
| 9 | sewer system doesn't mean it all goes back into |
| 10 | the sewer system. Thank you. |
| 11 | JAMES MCGRATH: And last, we have Becky |
| 12 | Mitchell. And Becky if you would add your |
| 13 | observations of exactly how combined sewer |
| 14 | overflow policy was incorporated into the Clean |
| 15 | Water Act. I started at EPA before this, but I |
| 16 | don't remember that provision of the Clean Water |
| 17 | Act that well, so, if you could help me on that. |
| 18 | BECKY MITCHELL: Yeah. It's confusing |
| 19 | and I might look to Marnie to help me out legally |
| 20 | for that. But, good afternoon. My name is Becky |
| 21 | Mitchell and I work in the NPDS Permit Section at |
| 22 | EPA Region 9 and I'm joined by my supervisor, |
| 23 | Elizabeth Sublott and I took the oath this |
| 24 | morning. So, to answer your question |
| 25 | specifically, I believe in 2000, the Clean Water |
| | |

| 1 | Act was amended to incorporate the CSO Control |
|----|---|
| 2 | Policy, which was drafted in 1994. I'm looking |
| 3 | at Marnie. |
| 4 | MARNIE: Yes. |
| 5 | BECKY MITCHELL: Okay, thank you. So, |
| 6 | I'd like to thank the Board staff for their |
| 7 | technical assistance provided during the |
| 8 | reissuance process and also thank the Board for |
| 9 | providing time today for EPA to make a few |
| 10 | comments. As explained earlier today, EPA is |
| 11 | here because the permit would authorize |
| 12 | discharges to federal and state waters. |
| 13 | Therefore, the permit is jointly issued by the |
| 14 | Board and EPA. EPA has worked closely with your |
| 15 | staff during permit development and have |
| 16 | responded jointly to all public comments. EPA |
| 17 | and the Regional Board Staff also have worked |
| 18 | closely with the City and County of San Francisco |
| 19 | during the permit reissuance process. For |
| 20 | example, since last October, EPA and the Regional |
| 21 | Water Board Staff have met nine times with the |
| 22 | City. This substantial engagement is reflective |
| 23 | of the complexity of the combined sewer system |
| 24 | and resulted in revisions to permit requirements |
| 25 | that reflect the site-specific nature of the |
| | |

| 1 | City's combined sewer system. So, I'm here today |
|----|---|
| 2 | to express EPA's support for the revised |
| 3 | tentative Order as currently drafted. We |
| 4 | specifically support the long-term control plan |
| 5 | requirements and the receiving water limitation |
| 6 | that requires that the discharge shall not cause |
| 7 | or contribute to a violation of any applicable |
| 8 | water quality standard. We explain in the |
| 9 | response to comments that the receiving water |
| 10 | limitation is frequently used in NPDS permits for |
| 11 | publicly owned treatment works issued by this |
| 12 | Regional Board as well as for other combined |
| 13 | sewer system NPDS permits, including the City of |
| 14 | Sacramento. The revised Order contains the |
| 15 | rationale for both of these requirements, |
| 16 | including the need to reflect monitoring, |
| 17 | continued consideration of sensitive areas at |
| 18 | public beaches and compliance with the applicable |
| 19 | water quality standards. This concludes my |
| 20 | remarks and thank you for your time. |
| 21 | JAMES MCGRATH: Thank you. With that, |
| 22 | we're going to break for our closed session, |
| 23 | which is going to be upstairs. We will not be |
| 24 | back in this room before 1:30 at the earliest, so |
| 25 | those of you who are hungry can count on that. I |
| | Dago 49 |

| 1 | won't guarantee we'll be back here at 1:30. |
|----|---|
| 2 | WOMAN: Chair McGrath, so we need to |
| 3 | specify what we're going into closed session for. |
| 4 | JAMES MCGRATH: And you're going to |
| 5 | help me with that, aren't you? |
| 6 | WOMAN: So, we're going into closed |
| 7 | session for personnel and also to discuss the |
| 8 | Sweeney vs. Water Board litigation, as well as |
| 9 | the Santa Clara Valley Water District vs. Water |
| 10 | Board litigation. |
| 11 | JAMES MCGRATH: I've had lawyers keep |
| 12 | me out of jail for a long time. Thank you. |
| 13 | JAMES MCGRATH: (indiscernible) back |
| 14 | into session? |
| 15 | WOMAN: Yes. |
| 16 | JAMES MCGRATH: Marnie, are you ready |
| 17 | for me? |
| 18 | MARNIE: I am. Hold on. Yes, I'm |
| 19 | ready. |
| 20 | JAMES MCGRATH: Okay. |
| 21 | MARNIE: So loud. |
| 22 | JAMES MCGRATH: And Michael and crew is |
| 23 | here. Michael and Amy and the others. So, we |
| 24 | have had legal issues raised and I want to make |
| 25 | sure that the Board understands why we've heard |
| | Page 49 |
| | |

| 1 | from the City, we want to understand and clarify. |
|----|---|
| 2 | So, I've got two questions for you: the first one |
| 3 | is, do we have, in your view, authority to |
| 4 | regulate water that causes nuisance or public |
| 5 | health problems, even if that material goes back |
| 6 | into the combined sewer system and does not |
| 7 | overflow into waters of the State? |
| 8 | MARNIE: I think you would be surprised |
| 9 | if I said no. So, yes, I do the Regional |
| 10 | Board does have authority to regulate those |
| 11 | discharges that go into the street. So, I'm |
| 12 | sorry anyway, so, the permit the permit |
| 13 | requires monitoring and reporting of those |
| 14 | discharges and part of the reason it requires |
| 15 | monitoring of those discharges is because it's |
| 16 | not clear ex ante whether those discharges go |
| 17 | into waters of the U.S. or waters of the State. |
| 18 | The reasons why monitoring and reporting is |
| 19 | required is to help with implementation of the |
| 20 | nine minimum controls to ensure that San |
| 21 | Francisco is properly operating and maintaining |
| 22 | its system, that their pollution prevention |
| 23 | programs are operating properly and in addition |
| 24 | to that, capacity related problems are not |
| 25 | treated separately or distinctly under the Clean |
| | D 50 |

| 1 | Water Act or under (indiscernible). |
|----|---|
| 2 | JAMES MCGRATH: Now, the authority does |
| 3 | not necessarily prescribe either a specific |
| 4 | solution or any solution at all, but it's the |
| 5 | authority. The second the second legal issue |
| 6 | that has been raised is whether or not the |
| 7 | Board's authority is in some way constrained by |
| 8 | the agreement to which is quite a while in the |
| 9 | past to treat the few combined sewer systems |
| 10 | in a manner that was different than conventional, |
| 11 | secondary treatment and that was eventually |
| 12 | incorporated into the Clean Water Act. And while |
| 13 | I certainly think that has equity implications, I |
| 14 | want to know if, in your view, that restricts the |
| 15 | Board's authority to require things like the nine |
| 16 | measures. |
| 17 | MARNIE: So, the CSO why does |
| 18 | what's happening? |
| 19 | MAN: They're back there messing with |
| 20 | the sound. Try that mic next to you. |
| 21 | MARNIE: Okay. So, the no. |
| 22 | MAN: Mic's not working? |
| 23 | MARNIE: Mmm mmm. |
| 24 | MAN: Check one yeah. |
| 25 | (indiscernible) |
| | Page 51 |
| | rage 31 |

| 1 | MARNIE: Testing. |
|----|---|
| 2 | MAN: Is this one working? No. |
| 3 | JAMES MCGRATH: I got This one's not |
| 4 | working either. |
| 5 | MAN: Testing one, two. Check one, |
| 6 | two. Okay. |
| 7 | JAMES MCGRATH: Down it goes. |
| 8 | DR. NEWSHA AJAMI: Now the screen is |
| 9 | working. Mic is not working. I think they |
| 10 | turned down the cooler, that's why. |
| 11 | JAMES MCGRATH: When we turned up the |
| 12 | heat, it killed the electricity for the |
| 13 | microphones. |
| 14 | DR. NEWSHA AJAMI: Exactly. There we |
| 15 | go. Perfect. |
| 16 | JAMES MCGRATH: Oh, they're back. Are |
| 17 | you okay now? |
| 18 | MARNIE: Yes. Okay. Okay, like this? |
| 19 | All right. Okay. So, the CSO policy as well as |
| 20 | the guidance contemplate updates to the long-term |
| 21 | control plans and other municipalities, including |
| 22 | Sacramento, have updated their long-term control |
| 23 | plans to reflect, you know, changes in the |
| 24 | climate and changes in the population density and |
| 25 | that sort of thing, so I don't think it's that |
| | Page 52 |
| | |

| 1 | unusual for long-term control plans to be updated |
|----|---|
| 2 | and it's certainly contemplated by the law. |
| 3 | JAMES MCGRATH: Thank you. So, I |
| 4 | wanted to make sure that we understood that the |
| 5 | viewpoint of the City as to our legal authority |
| 6 | is not shared by our own attorneys and we can |
| 7 | pick which we want to listen to. With that, I'll |
| 8 | return it to the Board for their comments, |
| 9 | questions and preparing for action. Steve's |
| 10 | going to pass. |
| 11 | DR. NEWSHA AJAMI: Me too. I just want |
| 12 | to say I appreciate the legal explanation that |
| 13 | Marnie provided and certainly valuable and |
| 14 | informative in the decision-making process, I |
| 15 | guess. |
| 16 | JAMES MCGRATH: Jayne? I'm not. And |
| 17 | so I'm probably the only Board member and |
| 18 | maybe the only person in the room that's been on |
| 19 | the Lincoln overflow structure when it's been |
| 20 | running. I took my wife out to look at how nasty |
| 21 | things get in a storm and we stood up on top I |
| 22 | know how to show a girl a good time, I've got to |
| 23 | tell you. |
| 24 | DR. NEWSHA AJAMI: Was it a date? |
| 25 | JAMES MCGRATH: So, I've been there and |
| | Page 53 |

| indeed no-one was in the water or on the beach. |
|---|
| There wasn't much beach, it was pretty hairy out |
| there. I've been around this particular issue |
| since my time at EPA many, many years ago and |
| indeed there are and I think this is important |
| to recognize when we grapple with the equity |
| issues. There are important benefits that we |
| know now that we didn't understand when we were |
| grappling with the combined sewer system. That |
| in fact, if you look at the total amount of |
| pollutants by mass emissions discharged into our |
| waters, there are benefits in what they treat for |
| freshwater for stormwater that are |
| significant, and I think are weighed in the |
| equity. And I'll also invoke a personal |
| relationship, I remember beginning to work with |
| the Port of Oakland when Michael Carlin was here |
| and running in any number of problems that had to |
| clean up and my experience was with the Board |
| under Michael's guidance, at the time, was any |
| time the Port of Oakland proposed something to |
| deal with a discharge or a problem that we had |
| that we felt was responsible, we were treated |
| fairly by the Regional Board and reasonably. And |
| I would assure that attitude still exists. It |

| wasn't just you, Michael. So, I think it is |
|---|
| important to recognize that we do recognize the |
| equity benefits of that system. On the other |
| hand, that doesn't mean the status quo can extend |
| forever no matter what and that you don't look. |
| I don't think I mean, I heard, and I |
| appreciated Amy's comments. I don't see this as |
| prescriptive in solution and I wouldn't support |
| it if it were. I think it is it does require |
| that you look and looking at a problem, including |
| flooding, doesn't automatically get us to, this |
| must be fixed this must be fixed under at a |
| certain period of time. We don't know what it |
| would cost. I think it is in your own advantage |
| to update the long-term look. I think you have |
| capabilities in terms of what you know about |
| monitoring that you can tell us. If in fact the |
| case can be made compelling based on monitoring |
| data, which is robust, that beneficial uses are |
| met with the discharges as the way they are, the |
| fact that we have authority over that doesn't |
| matter. The question is how good the case is and |
| whether the monitoring is sufficient. So, you |
| will take it where you will take it. I |
| understand that. I think it's important that you |

| 1 | hear that we understand the equity involved in |
|----|---|
| 2 | the investment and we're not talking about |
| 3 | separating the sewers at large, but there may be |
| 4 | some circumstances where we might be talking |
| 5 | about improvements and those discussions are |
| 6 | ongoing. I don't think our staff dictates and I |
| 7 | think just, as when Michael was around, the |
| 8 | Regional Board Staff had some well-articulated |
| 9 | arguments. So, that's my comment. I'm going to |
| 10 | support the staff recommendation as it is and I |
| 11 | want to make it clear that the fact that we have |
| 12 | authority does not mean that it requires, at this |
| 13 | stage, anything in particular, other than the |
| 14 | completion of the studies. So, can we have a |
| 15 | staff recommendation? And any further comments |
| 16 | that you would like to make? |
| 17 | WOMAN: We had a request for |
| 18 | (indiscernible). |
| 19 | MAN: Can you come to the microphone |
| 20 | please? |
| 21 | MAN2: Yeah, Bill do you have that? |
| 22 | DR. NEWSHA AJAMI: E-coli. |
| 23 | (Crosstalk) |
| 24 | JAMES MCGRATH: Sure. |
| 25 | DR. NEWSHA AJAMI: I think she asked |
| | Page 56 |

| 1 | for e-coli because they |
|----|---|
| 2 | AMY CHASTAIN: Yeah, that was it. |
| 3 | (Crosstalk) |
| 4 | JAMES MCGRATH: We'll take care of |
| 5 | that. |
| 6 | MAN: So, Bill Johnson has a |
| 7 | recommendation for |
| 8 | BILL JOHNSON: Sure. So, thank you |
| 9 | Amy. We haven't forgotten you. So, in addition |
| 10 | to the revised tentative Order you have in front |
| 11 | of you, and of course the supplemental and the |
| 12 | various changes that are listed in there, I can |
| 13 | propose a few other changes to add footnotes |
| 14 | related to fecal coliform. So, to be specific |
| 15 | and I'll just read this for the sake of the |
| 16 | record. I'm talking about page E-16, Table E-11, |
| 17 | where we would add a footnote to the parameter of |
| 18 | fecal coliform. It would be footnote #3 and then |
| 19 | at the bottom of that table, adding a footnote 3 |
| 20 | as: "The discharger shall begin monitoring fecal |
| 21 | coliform on October 1, 2020." That essentially |
| 22 | postpones the need to do the fecal coliform |
| 23 | monitoring for this wet season and will have it |
| 24 | in place for the next wet season. That is not |
| 25 | the only place the fecal coliform comes up. It |
| | Da 57 |

Page 57

| 1 | is also on pages E-16 and E-17 in Table E-12. |
|----|--|
| 2 | So, there as well, under the parameter of fecal |
| 3 | coliform, we would add a new footnote 4 and then |
| 4 | under Standard Observations, that footnote 4 |
| 5 | would be turned into footnote 5 and then the new |
| 6 | footnote 4 that would be added to the footnotes |
| 7 | on that Table would read exactly the same: "The |
| 8 | discharger shall begin monitoring fecal coliform |
| 9 | on October 1, 2020." |
| 10 | JAMES MCGRATH: And I guess I have two |
| 11 | questions to that: one of you with the |
| 12 | enterococci, that's a sufficient interim test to |
| 13 | be able to distinguish between human and other |
| 14 | sources of e-coli, correct? |
| 15 | BILL JOHNSON: Yes. |
| 16 | JAMES MCGRATH: And to Amy, is that |
| 17 | sufficient time to get your lab up to speed? |
| 18 | AMY CHASTAIN: It will be. |
| 19 | JAMES MCGRATH: It will be. There are |
| 20 | advantages to having a discharger with a good |
| 21 | lab, so I appreciate that. Any other comments or |
| 22 | changes you want to make, Bill? Michael? |
| 23 | BILL JOHNSON: No. |
| 24 | MICHAEL MONTGOMERY: So, with that |
| 25 | change we recommend that the Board adopt the |
| | Page 58 |
| | |

| 1 | reissued permit. |
|----|---|
| 2 | JAMES MCGRATH: Can I have a Motion? |
| 3 | DR. NEWSHA AJAMI: I'll move. |
| 4 | JAYNE BATTEY: Second. |
| 5 | JAMES MCGRATH: Any further discussion? |
| 6 | DR. NEWSHA AJAMI: Can I so, as a |
| 7 | resident of the City of San Francisco |
| 8 | (indiscernible) I want to say, I really |
| 9 | appreciate all the work that you guys are doing |
| 10 | to deal with the different storms and flood |
| 11 | issues and everything. It's not easy to run a |
| 12 | water and wastewater system in a very dense area, |
| 13 | so there is definitely appreciation for that. |
| 14 | And I want you to realize that everything that |
| 15 | you are doing is just forward looking and it's |
| 16 | just to help everybody who has been |
| 17 | (indiscernible) there to be able to see what's |
| 18 | coming in the next 20, 30, 40, 50 years. And I |
| 19 | know that's how you guys also do capital planning |
| 20 | and look ahead and I really want to make sure |
| 21 | that, obviously, the public health is protected |
| 22 | and also, our City can thrive and as we, sort of, |
| 23 | deal with all these issues that are related to |
| 24 | climate change. And I'm not going to be |
| 25 | surprised to see more bigger storms and larger |
| | |

| 1 | and more intense storm events in the years to |
|--|--|
| 2 | come and it's really important for all the people |
| 3 | in different parts of the city to have access to |
| 4 | the to, you know, proper stormwater management |
| 5 | and wastewater system, regardless of which part |
| 6 | of the city they live in and what kind of |
| 7 | gradients they're building and their houses are |
| 8 | on. So, thank you. I really appreciate you guys |
| 9 | all coming, giving and for your presentation |
| 10 | and I also want to acknowledge the residents who |
| 11 | took time and came and talked because, you know, |
| 12 | we always love to hear from the constituents in |
| 13 | any ways we can. Thank you. |
| 14 | JAMES MCGRATH: Can we call the roll? |
| | |
| 15 | CECILIA OGBU: I just wanted to say one |
| 15 16 | CECILIA OGBU: I just wanted to say one thing, sorry. |
| | |
| 16 | thing, sorry. |
| 16 17 | thing, sorry. JAMES MCGRATH: Oh, I'm sorry. |
| 16 17 18 | thing, sorry. JAMES MCGRATH: Oh, I'm sorry. CECILIA OGBU: This was just I |
| 16 17 18 19 | thing, sorry. JAMES MCGRATH: Oh, I'm sorry. CECILIA OGBU: This was just I realized that we've been talking about all these |
| 16 17 18 19 20 | thing, sorry. JAMES MCGRATH: Oh, I'm sorry. CECILIA OGBU: This was just I realized that we've been talking about all these areas of disagreement, but I just wanted to note |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 | thing, sorry. JAMES MCGRATH: Oh, I'm sorry. CECILIA OGBU: This was just I realized that we've been talking about all these areas of disagreement, but I just wanted to note that I was to thank you for the presentation |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 | thing, sorry. JAMES MCGRATH: Oh, I'm sorry. CECILIA OGBU: This was just I realized that we've been talking about all these areas of disagreement, but I just wanted to note that I was to thank you for the presentation and everything and also, just note that I was |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | thing, sorry. JAMES MCGRATH: Oh, I'm sorry. CECILIA OGBU: This was just I realized that we've been talking about all these areas of disagreement, but I just wanted to note that I was to thank you for the presentation and everything and also, just note that I was really glad to see the Westside recycled water |

| 1 | that and so, I just wanted to bring that up |
|----|---|
| 2 | because that's great. So, thank you. |
| 3 | JAMES MCGRATH: Now you can call the |
| 4 | roll. |
| 5 | WOMAN: I guess I say Chair McGrath |
| 6 | JAMES MCGRATH: Yes. |
| 7 | WOMAN: Vice-Chair Gaddy. |
| 8 | VICE-CHAIR GADDY: Yes. |
| 9 | WOMAN: Board member Kissenger. Sorry. |
| 10 | Board member Ajami. |
| 11 | DR. NEWSHA AJAMI: Yes. |
| 12 | WOMAN: Board member Lufkowitz. |
| 13 | BOARD MEMBER LUFKOWITZ: Aye. |
| 14 | WOMAN: Board member Ogbu. |
| 15 | CECILIA OGBU: Aye. |
| 16 | JAMES MCGRATH: So, ordered. That's |
| 17 | kind of fun to say. I think we can adjourn. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | Page 61 |
| | |

| 1 | CERTIFICATION |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certify that the |
| 4 | foregoing transcript is a true and accurate |
| 5 | record of the proceedings. |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | <%12151,Signature%> |
| 10 | |
| 11 | Veritext Legal Solutions |
| 12 | 330 Old Country Road |
| 13 | Suite 300 |
| 14 | Mineola, NY 11501 |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Date: September 18, 2019 |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | Page 62 |

[& - amy]

| & | 2016-17 39:6 | accommodates | advantages 58:20 |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| & 2:11 | 2019 1:15 62:16 | 44:8 | advice 35:18 |
| | 2020 57:21 58:9 | accomplish 34:11 | aerial 3:20 |
| 0 | 22 8:9 | accountability | afford 31:6,8 43:1 |
| 09-10 39:14 | 28 39:1 | 45:14 | afternoon 3:2 22:1 |
| 1 | 3 | accurate 62:4 | 22:2 42:14 44:5 |
| 1 1:25 30:8 57:21 | 3 6:6 57:18,19 | achieve 31:10 | 46:20 |
| 58:9 | 30 39:1 59:18 | 34:19,25 | agency 45:11 |
| 1.3 9:8 | 300 62:13 | achieving 31:19 | agency's 37:4 |
| 1.6 3:12 | 330 62:12 | acknowledge | ago 26:18 38:23 |
| 10 5:21 12:13 | 4 | 45:20 60:10 | 54:4 |
| 22:15 33:16 40:23 | | acknowledged | agree 35:13 |
| 10-11 39:15 | 4 17:17,19 18:1 | 43:2 | agreement 45:24 |
| 11 1:15 7:25 23:6 | 58:3,4,6 | acknowledgment | 51:8 |
| 57:16 | 40 59:18 | 46:3 | ahead 15:21 24:3 |
| 11501 62:14 | 43 8:3 | act 10:3 14:14 | 59:20 |
| 12 5:20 23:6 58:1 | 4pm 16:6,6,13 | 28:14 36:18 37:19 | ajami 15:16,23 |
| 12151 62:9 | 5 | 38:10 46:15,17 | 16:4 18:9 19:17 |
| 13 39:9,9,9 40:5 | 5 40:23 58:5 | 47:1 51:1,12 | 19:20 20:2 35:1 |
| 133 8:16 | 50 5:12 59:18 | acting 43:13 | 38:20 39:6,9,12 |
| 14 11:5 39:10 | 6 | action 53:9 | 42:6 52:8,14 |
| 15 21:11 26:18 | 62 1:25 | actual 19:8 27:23 | 53:11,24 56:22,25 |
| 40:23 44:10 | 65 8:14 | 29:2,23 add 19:11 41:23 | 59:3,6 61:10,11 akin 35:19 |
| 16 23:25 57:16 | 6pm 17:18 | 46:12 57:13,17 | akii 53:19 albeit 2:16 |
| 58:1 | 7 | 58:3 | allowed 24:19 |
| 17 58:1 | | added 58:6 | 42:21 |
| 18 19:14,19,20 | 7 18:6 | adding 57:19 | alternatives 10:19 |
| 62:16 | 73 6:20 | addition 8:14 | amended 47:1 |
| 1990s 11:1 | 7pm 17:18 | 39:25 50:23 57:9 | amount 16:24 |
| 1993 15:1 | 8 | additional 8:7,9 | 17:5 54:10 |
| 1994 9:24 47:2 | 8 18:2,6 38:24 | 13:10 31:13 34:19 | amounts 37:12 |
| 1997 27:24 28:20 | 8am 16:12,13 | address 23:4,5 | amy 20:1 21:18,20 |
| 29:23 1:30 48:24 49:1 | 9 | 26:24 | 21:22,25 22:2 |
| | 9 40:5 46:22 | adjacent 27:18 | 24:2,5 32:15,25 |
| 2 | a | adjourn 61:17 | 33:5 36:8,11 38:1 |
| 2 30:10 39:10 | | adopt 58:25 | 38:19 39:4,8,11 |
| 20 6:4 59:18 | able 22:22 24:11 | adopted 9:23 | 40:11 41:23,24 |
| 2000 46:25 | 58:13 59:17 | advantage 7:10 | 42:8 49:23 57:2,9 |
| 2005-06 39:14 | accept 30:14 | 55:14 | 58:16,18 |
| | access 17:10 25:13 | | |
| | 60:3 | | |

[amy's - board]

| | | T | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| amy's 19:24 55:7 | areas 21:2,11 | avoid 2:18 | 29:5 54:1,2 |
| analyses 24:12,14 | 48:17 60:20 | aware 21:10 | beaches 17:6 19:9 |
| analysis 23:23 | aren't 49:5 | aye 61:13,15 | 48:18 |
| analyze 24:20 | argues 12:17 14:6 | b | becky 46:11,12,18 |
| answer 16:15 | arguing 35:8,15 | back 3:19 27:18 | 46:20 47:5 |
| 18:25 22:22 24:23 | 35:18 | 27:19 35:10 38:21 | beginning 54:16 |
| 41:18,20 46:24 | argument 35:4 | 40:18,18 46:8,9 | begun 13:11 |
| ante 50:16 | 37:8 | 48:24 49:1,13 | behalf 42:17 |
| antecedent 40:16 | arguments 56:9 | 50:5 51:19 52:16 | believe 22:18 32:2 |
| anymore 25:3 | arrows 5:2 | | 46:25 |
| 26:7,8 | articulate 25:15 | background 3:14 40:13 | beneath 5:10 |
| anyone's 60:25 | articulated 35:5 | | beneficial 10:22 |
| anyway 50:12 | 56:8 | backstops 14:18 | 29:18 30:5,12 |
| apart 37:7 | asked 15:19 34:9 | backup 7:16 20:16 bacteria 23:12,15 | 38:17 55:19 |
| app 26:5,6 | 56:25 | 1 | benefits 31:13,19 |
| apparent 37:5 | asking 19:4 | 23:17 24:9 | 34:17,19 54:7,12 |
| appearance 2:18 | assets 4:13 | bacterias 13:7 | 55:3 |
| appears 14:21 | assistance 47:7 | 23:19 had 21:2 | better 29:24 32:13 |
| applicable 14:7 | assistant 22:8 | bad 31:2 | 35:5 |
| 30:13 48:7,18 | associated 13:7 | baffles 10:15 | beyond 6:9 22:17 |
| appreciate 43:20 | assume 18:18 | baker 6:16 | big 5:19 32:16 |
| 43:21 53:12 58:21 | 32:22 | balance 31:5 | 37:1,2 |
| 59:9 60:8 | assuming 39:16 | base 12:1 | bigger 59:25 |
| appreciated 55:7 | 39:23 | based 9:18 10:4,7 | biggest 5:8 |
| appreciation | assure 54:25 | 26:8,13 29:17,25 | bill 16:17,17 18:25 |
| 59:13 | attainment 10:21 | 38:9 40:19 41:12 | 19:21 20:6 56:21 |
| appreciative | attitude 54:25 | 41:16 55:18 | 57:6,8 58:15,22 |
| 26:18 | attorneys 53:6 | basements 44:19 | 58:23 |
| approach 9:17,25 | attorney's 22:11 | bases 12:22 28:2 | bit 16:22 17:12 |
| 30:24 31:20 | authority 12:15 | basin 27:19 | 22:16 24:3 26:10 |
| appropriate 23:13 | 35:25 43:23 50:3 | basis 45:6,6,15 | 28:16 30:20 32:20 |
| 26:25 | 50:10 51:2,5,7,15 | battey 32:7,10,19 | 41:6 |
| appropriately | 53:5 55:21 56:12 | 33:1 59:4 | blended 8:10 |
| 28:11,11 | authorize 47:11 | bay 1:13 4:5 17:4 | blending 8:13 |
| approve 15:12 | automatically | 25:3 31:2 45:9,10 | blue 4:9 |
| approves 15:13 | 55:11 | bayside 4:1,5,10 | blunt 30:16 |
| apps 26:7 | available 21:8 | 11:12 14:23 20:19 | board 1:14 12:15 |
| area 20:22,23 31:2 | 26:14 30:10 38:5 | 20:23 21:2 31:24 | 12:19 15:2,8,13 |
| 33:23 43:17 45:9 | average 9:4 27:24 | 32:3 43:4,17 | 15:15 22:2 23:16 |
| 59:12 | 28:20 39:4,5 | beach 5:11 6:16 | 23:25 24:24 37:23 |
| 37.12 | 20.20 37.7,3 | 6:16,17 16:6,7,9 | 47:6,8,14,17,21 |
| | | 16:25 18:18 29:5 | 77.0,0,17,17,21 |

[board - combined]

| | I | I | ı |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| 48:12 49:8,10,25 | capabilities 55:16 | change 13:11,12 | clara 49:9 |
| 50:10 53:8,17 | capacities 8:24 | 31:15 58:25 59:24 | clarify 50:1 |
| 54:19,24 56:8 | capacity 6:12,19 | changed 3:5 13:9 | class 42:24 |
| 58:25 61:9,10,12 | 7:18 29:22 40:21 | changes 13:10 | clean 10:2 14:14 |
| 61:13,14 | 40:21,22 50:24 | 52:23,24 57:12,13 | 28:14 37:19 38:10 |
| board's 44:13 | capital 30:17 | 58:22 | 46:14,16,25 50:25 |
| 51:7,15 | 32:16 33:7,11,13 | characterizes | 51:12 54:19 |
| bockius 2:11 | 33:15,19 59:19 | 12:12 | cleaning 7:8 |
| bosses 22:20 | car 11:22 | chastain 20:1 | clear 9:19 38:3 |
| bottom 5:25 57:19 | card 22:8 | 21:20,22,25 22:3 | 42:1 50:16 56:11 |
| box 4:25 29:21 | cards 21:21 42:4 | 24:2,5 32:15,25 | client 24:12 |
| boxes 5:23 | care 25:5 57:4 | 33:5 36:8,11 38:1 | cliff 33:23 34:3 |
| break 19:2 26:10 | carlin 21:23 22:7 | 38:19 39:4,8,11 | climate 13:12 |
| 48:22 | 54:17 | 40:11 41:24 42:8 | 31:15 52:24 59:24 |
| breaking 21:18 | carry 37:6 | 57:2 58:18 | closed 42:3 48:22 |
| breathing 31:23 | cars 7:9 | check 18:20 32:1 | 49:3,6 |
| brief 28:25 | case 55:18,22 | 51:24 52:5 | closely 11:20 15:7 |
| briefly 42:5 | catch 27:19 34:2 | checking 26:4 | 26:11 47:14,18 |
| bring 61:1 | 34:14 | chief 16:18 | closer 17:17 |
| brings 20:25 | cause 14:2 43:7 | china 6:16 | coast 45:10 |
| brown 4:16 5:6,22 | 48:6 | cip 33:17 | coli 24:8 56:22 |
| budget 33:16 | causes 50:4 | circle 5:19 11:22 | 57:1 58:14 |
| building 60:7 | caution 26:21 | circled 11:18 | coliform 23:21,22 |
| buildings 11:19 | cayuca 43:17 | circumstances | 24:7,16,21 57:14 |
| built 6:3 29:19 | cecilia 60:15,18 | 40:20 56:4 | 57:18,21,22,25 |
| 35:21 | 61:15 | cities 4:20 45:8 | 58:3,8 |
| business 35:9 37:9 | certain 24:11 | citizens 43:15 | coliforms 23:21 |
| businesses 7:16 | 55:13 | city 3:21,22,25 4:2 | collect 5:4 6:23 |
| 11:9 36:21 42:22 | certainly 35:3 | 4:4,8,24 5:7 8:16 | 9:6 |
| c | 51:13 53:2,13 | 9:1 10:23,24 | collected 4:25 |
| c 62:1,1 | certainty 17:22 | 11:13 12:8,11 | 30:3 |
| calibrated 41:8,17 | certification 24:10 | 13:4 16:5 17:6 | collection 4:13,18 |
| california 1:13 | certified 24:10,15 | 20:16 22:11 25:8 | 5:4 6:19 7:18 9:13 |
| 4:20 13:13 | 24:16,18,22 | 25:9 29:11 33:13 | 27:20 36:15 |
| call 8:19 36:13 | certify 62:3 | 39:20 45:3,6,17 | collects 7:6 |
| 60:14 61:3 | chair 49:2 61:5,7 | 45:17 47:18,22 | color 4:17 |
| called 27:11 | 61:8 | 48:13 50:1 53:5 | combined 3:16 |
| calling 27:22 | challenge 27:5 | 59:7,22 60:3,6 | 4:19,21 5:13 6:12 |
| can't 9:22 17:11 | challenging 34:5 | city's 6:4 48:1 | 6:12,21,22 7:5,10 |
| 18:10 43:1 45:1,4 | chance 42:2 | claiming 38:14 | 7:13,22 8:19,21 |
| 10.10 73.1 73.1,7 | | | 9:2,9,18,23 10:1 |
| | | | |

[combined - date]

| 11.0 10.02 12.1 5 | I-4: 5C.14 | | 12.650.14 |
|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 11:8 12:23 13:1,5 | completion 56:14 | contaminate | correct 43:6 58:14 |
| 14:14 23:10 25:1 | complexity 47:23 | 42:21 | cost 32:20 36:6 |
| 27:12,14,19,20,21 | compliance 13:20 | contamination | 55:14 |
| 28:4,5,12,15 | 13:23 24:13 48:18 | 14:5 | costs 31:18 |
| 29:12,13 30:18 | complicated 15:5 | contemplate 52:20 | count 10:16 48:25 |
| 36:14,23 38:24 | concentrations | contemplated | country 26:21 |
| 46:13 47:23 48:1 | 13:8 | 53:2 | 30:19 62:12 |
| 48:12 50:6 51:9 | concerned 11:7 | context 9:20 11:13 | county 25:9 33:13 |
| 54:9 | 14:8 15:24 30:22 | 24:24 29:8 31:8 | 47:18 |
| come 18:4 21:24 | 30:23 | continue 35:23 | couple 11:10 15:9 |
| 27:14 35:13 36:4 | concerns 12:1 | 43:14 | 38:22 |
| 43:1 56:19 60:2 | 16:24 20:15 23:4 | continued 48:17 | course 57:11 |
| comes 35:9 46:4 | 25:12 26:11,13,16 | continues 35:24 | cover 3:9 11:21 |
| 57:25 | 26:24 27:8 28:1 | contractors 44:22 | covered 20:22,23 |
| coming 11:17 | 37:23 | contrary 12:17 | create 14:9 |
| 39:21 44:7 59:18 | concludes 48:19 | contribute 14:2 | creates 30:25 |
| 60:9 | conditions 9:16 | 48:7 | creating 14:5 |
| comment 3:18 | 40:17 | control 1:14 5:24 | creeks 46:6,7 |
| 11:6 15:21 22:17 | conflicts 45:5 | 9:19,24 10:6,11 | crew 49:22 |
| 31:21 56:9 | confused 32:22 | 10:12,17,18,19,23 | crosstalk 56:23 |
| comments 3:17,19 | 33:4,6 | 12:8,16,24 13:17 | 57:3 |
| 11:4,14 12:14,21 | confusing 27:10 | 14:14 29:15 30:6 | csds 38:1 40:14 |
| 13:2 14:11 16:21 | 46:18 | 30:16 32:12,18 | cso 29:15 41:11 |
| 20:18 24:19 27:4 | consent 13:1 | 33:12 36:5 38:7 | 47:1 51:17 52:19 |
| 27:4 28:8,9 31:7 | consider 11:2 | 47:1 48:4 52:21 | curious 22:14 |
| 35:7 47:10,16 | consideration | 52:22 53:1 | current 23:22 |
| 48:9 53:8 55:7 | 4:11 15:9 48:17 | controlling 9:25 | 24:5 28:18 30:4 |
| 56:15 58:21 | considering 26:2 | controls 10:4,7,8 | 33:8 38:6 |
| commission 2:13 | 44:2 | 10:25 30:4 50:20 | currently 2:12 |
| 22:5 | consistent 12:25 | conventional | 3:10 24:9,16 48:3 |
| commonly 44:6 | 14:13 | 51:10 | currents 29:6 |
| communicate 27:7 | constantly 33:15 | conversation | cycle 33:16,17 |
| communication | constituents 60:12 | 20:11 | d |
| 26:15 | constrained 51:7 | convey 5:5,12,23 | d 19:14,15 |
| communications | constructed 13:9 | 36:20 | daily 23:12 |
| 25:25 41:16 | 32:16 | conveyance 36:25 | dangerous 29:5 |
| communities 44:8 | construction 3:11 | 37:10 | dark 5:3 17:9,11 |
| community 31:9 | 5:16 10:11,25 | conveyed 5:1 7:1,2 | data 11:25 41:13 |
| compelling 55:18 | 30:11 33:8 | conveys 7:22 | 45:13 46:3 55:19 |
| completely 31:18 | contains 41:8 | cooler 52:10 | date 53:24 62:16 |
| | 48:14 | | uate 33.24 02.10 |
| | | | |

[david - electronic]

| 1. 1. 40 10 44 2.5 | J' . 4 . 4 | 1' 10.0 | C1 11 |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| david 42:12 44:3,5 | dictates 56:6 | discussing 12:2 | 61:11 |
| day 3:13 8:1,4,10 | didn't 25:14 30:8 | 35:14 | draft 4:10 |
| 8:15 16:9 18:2 | 43:8 44:13 54:8 | discussion 59:5 | drafted 47:2 48:3 |
| days 16:8 17:15 | different 6:24 7:3 | discussions 56:5 | drains 7:6 |
| 28:23 38:23 | 9:17 16:23 19:7 | dislodged 11:10 | dripping 7:9 |
| deadlines 26:22 | 20:17 39:22 41:3 | dismal 45:16 | drive 41:2 |
| deal 54:22 59:10 | 51:10 59:10 60:3 | dispense 22:24 | driven 34:2 40:15 |
| 59:23 | differentiate | distinction 37:18 | 40:22 |
| decade 24:6 | 18:15,21 | distinctly 50:25 | driver 34:20 |
| decades 23:9 43:5 | differentiated | distinguish 58:13 | dry 7:7,11 8:2,5 |
| decide 45:12 | 18:22 | distributed 39:16 | 9:10 36:18 |
| decides 45:12 | difficult 40:12 | district 49:9 | dunseff 42:10,13 |
| decision 2:10 | direction 5:2 | ditto 25:21 | 42:15 |
| 53:14 | directly 8:17 | dividing 4:1 | duration 12:10 |
| decrees 13:1 | disagree 12:20 | division 3:5 16:18 | e |
| deep 6:6 7:24 8:17 | 14:10 | documentation | e 23:6,6,25 24:8,9 |
| definitely 25:4 | disagreement 28:2 | 21:7 | 24:15 56:22 57:1 |
| 59:13 | 28:10 60:20 | doesn't 9:20 19:22 | 57:16,16 58:1,1,1 |
| dense 59:12 | discharge 7:13,23 | 46:1,9 55:4,11,21 | 58:14 62:1 |
| density 52:24 | 8:25,25 14:17,24 | doing 15:17 20:9 | earlier 13:16 |
| department 26:6 | 17:19 23:10 27:25 | 26:7 32:20,21 | 18:20 47:10 |
| 26:12 | 28:21 34:1 48:6 | 33:3 59:9,15 | earliest 48:24 |
| depending 28:23 | 54:22 | dollar 31:10,11 | easement 34:6 |
| depends 9:5 | discharged 6:13 | 34:23 44:24 | easier 5:17 17:23 |
| deputy 22:7 | 8:12 28:21 31:17 | dollars 33:21 | 17:25 36:12 |
| describe 45:1 | 33:25 54:11 | domestic 6:23,25 | east 25:3 |
| design 41:12 | discharger 2:16 | 8:1 | eastern 3:25 |
| designed 32:18 | 19:15 26:17 57:20 | don't 16:13 17:9 | easy 24:22 59:11 |
| 33:10 37:6 | 58:8,20 | 18:14 19:24 20:8 | economic 43:12 |
| detail 28:7 | discharges 6:5,9 | 21:9 22:12 25:3 | effects 10:9 |
| detailed 13:21 | 6:16,18 9:2 10:1 | 26:7 27:5 30:20 | efficacy 26:14 |
| 15:10 | 11:4 13:5,6 14:1,4 | 30:21 38:12,12 | effluent 7:24 9:14 |
| details 21:12 | 17:2,4,14 27:21 | 43:9 46:16 52:25 | |
| determine 13:22 | 27:23 31:17 47:12 | 55:5,6,7,13 56:6 | 14:19 |
| develop 10:18 | 50:11,14,15,16 | 60:25 | eight 28:19 29:13 |
| developed 33:7 | 55:20 | dr 15:16,23 16:4 | 29:17 35:21 |
| development | discharging 7:19 | 18:9 19:17,20 | either 18:8 30:8 |
| 47:15 | discuss 22:23 42:2 | 20:2 35:1 38:20 | 51:3 52:4 |
| devices 10:14 | 49:7 | 39:6,9,12 42:6 | el 40:18 |
| diagram 7:20 | discussed 35:17 | 52:8,14 53:11,24 | electricity 52:12 |
| | | 56:22,25 59:3,6 | electronic 19:13 |
| | | , | 19:16 |

[elevated - footnote]

| elevated 13:7 | especially 15:25 | expected 3:12 | feels 30:20 |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| 23:12 | 45:9 | 13:14 | felt 54:23 |
| eliminate 11:2 | essentially 57:21 | expecting 40:9 | figure 4:11 7:5,17 |
| elizabeth 46:23 | establish 9:25 | experience 40:2 | 44:17 |
| else's 45:17 | establishes 10:3 | 54:19 | filing 45:25 |
| elude 25:14 | estee 22:12,12 | explain 12:20 | finally 17:13 |
| eluded 25:14 | evaluate 10:19 | 14:10 48:8 | find 20:11 26:23 |
| email 25:22,22 | evaluating 25:25 | explained 47:10 | finding 5:15 |
| emissions 54:11 | 41:11 | explanation 53:12 | findings 12:19 |
| empty 44:19 | event 14:18 40:16 | express 48:2 | fine 2:24 |
| enforcement 3:4 | 40:24 | extend 55:4 | finished 10:24 |
| 17:25 | events 13:13 28:22 | extends 13:19 | firm 2:11 |
| engagement 47:22 | 29:6 37:14 41:2 | extensive 26:16 | first 10:24 13:25 |
| engine 43:13 | 60:1 | 29:1 41:13 | 22:1,25 32:5 35:8 |
| engineer 3:4 | eventually 51:11 | extensively 41:17 | 36:9 43:25 50:2 |
| engineering 10:10 | everybody 45:17 | extreme 13:13 | five 5:16 |
| 40:13 | 59:16 | extremely 41:7 | fixed 55:12,12 |
| engleside 44:9 | ex 50:16 | f | flexibility 13:22 |
| ensure 12:8 50:20 | exact 9:5 | _ | floatable 10:12 |
| enter 45:2 46:7 | exactly 19:6 46:13 | f 38:24 62:1 faced 37:11 | floatables 8:21 |
| entered 45:21 | 52:14 58:7 | | flood 21:7 41:15 |
| enterococci 58:12 | examination 46:1 | facilities 3:8,15 9:11 13:9 | 41:15 59:10 |
| enterococcus | example 7:17 | facility 15:5 | flooded 40:3 |
| 23:20 24:20 | 10:11 12:7 13:4 | fact 17:20,21 | flooding 11:15 |
| enterprise 22:4 | 13:13 33:22 34:25 | 54:10 55:17,21 | 20:16 21:2,3 |
| 33:14 | 39:6 47:20 | 56:11 | 36:13,13 39:25 |
| enterprises 44:1 | exceeded 6:20 | factors 40:25 41:1 | 40:22 43:3 55:11 |
| entire 17:6 41:9 | 7:18 | facts 12:18 13:3 | floor 45:22 |
| entirely 6:3 | exceeding 8:24 | 14:8 | floors 44:20 45:23 |
| entirety 12:4 | excellent 28:17 | fairly 54:24 | flow 4:23 5:2 7:20 |
| environmental | exception 45:7 | families 42:25 | 8:5 27:18 37:12 |
| 31:13 | exceptionalism | faster 19:10 | 37:17 |
| epa 6:8 46:15,22 | 45:7 | fear 31:15 | flows 4:5,6,24 5:5 |
| 47:9,10,14,14,16 | excuse 42:14 | fecal 23:17,19,21 | 5:23 7:22 8:8,18 |
| 47:20 54:4 | existing 3:7 12:6 | 23:22 24:7,16 | 8:24 9:7 27:13,14 |
| epa's 48:2 | 14:4 31:4 34:20 | 44:16,17 45:20 | focus 4:15 31:16 |
| equity 51:13 54:6 | exists 54:25 | 57:14,18,20,22,25 | folks 25:23 |
| 54:15 55:3 56:1 | expect 29:4 | 58:2,8 | follow 23:11 |
| equivalent 8:18,20 | expectation 17:24 | federal 6:9 12:22 | foot 11:15 |
| 9:3 | expectations | 25:10 28:13 34:5 | footnote 57:17,18 |
| | 33:10 | 47:12 | 57:19 58:3,4,5,6 |
| | l . | <u> </u> | 1 |

[footnotes - here's]

| footnotes 57:13 | full 8:7 17:20 18:7 | 46:4,8,9 50:5 52:7 | guy 21:22 |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 58:6 | 37:15 | going 2:19,20 3:17 | guys 37:7 41:4 |
| foregoing 62:4 | fun 61:17 | 4:14,15 12:4,13 | 59:9,19 60:8 |
| forever 55:5 | function 37:16 | 18:11 20:7 22:24 | h |
| forget 23:1 | fundamental 27:8 | 25:7 26:3 27:6 | h&h 41:8 |
| forgot 32:11 | fundamentally | 30:10 32:23 34:15 | |
| forgotten 57:9 | 28:9 | 40:12 41:5,23 | hairy 54:2 hand 55:4 |
| form 31:14 | funston 6:18 | 42:2 45:1,12 | |
| fort 6:18 | further 34:15 38:8 | 48:22,23 49:3,4,6 | happen 17:14 21:4 26:2 |
| forward 33:2 | 56:15 59:5 | 53:10 56:9 59:24 | happening 17:3,4 |
| 59:15 | furthermore 13:8 | goliath 43:12 | 18:20 21:1 43:18 |
| found 24:1 38:23 | 14:16 | good 3:2 20:3 | 51:18 |
| four 8:4 | g | 21:25 22:1,14 | happens 17:16,19 |
| frame 18:3 22:18 | gaddy 61:7,8 | 31:12 37:21 42:13 | 27:16 |
| framework 37:20 | gallon 5:20,21 | 44:5 46:20 53:22 | happy 15:7 42:1 |
| francisco 1:13 2:3 | gallons 3:13 5:12 | 55:22 58:20 | 60:24 |
| 2:12,13 3:9,21 | 6:20 8:1,4,9,15,16 | gosh 46:5 | harlem 45:18 |
| 4:20 9:6,22 11:5 | geek 41:5 | governance 36:16 | hasn't 29:7 |
| 12:3,14,17 13:18 | geeks 23:16 25:19 | government 25:10 | haven't 36:6 57:9 |
| 13:22,23,25 14:3 | general 5:2 22:7,8 | government's | hazard 41:16 |
| 14:6,8 15:20 | generally 4:23 | 37:21 | hazmat 44:21 |
| 16:22,23 19:4 | getting 24:3 37:23 | gradients 60:7 | head 22:9 |
| 21:12,15 22:4 | 40:3 | grapple 54:6 | health 26:12,15 |
| 23:8 25:9 29:12 | geyser 11:16 | grappling 54:9 | 43:15,25 44:14 |
| 29:19 31:1 33:14 | giant 30:17 | great 5:10 6:1 | 50:5 59:21 |
| 41:22 42:15,19 | girl 53:22 | 41:6 61:2 | hear 42:4 56:1 |
| 43:16 46:6 47:18 | give 17:21 19:1,21 | greater 33:19 | 60:12 |
| 50:21 59:7 | 19:22 21:12 35:18 | green 34:13 39:22 | heard 31:7 32:14 |
| francisco's 3:14 | given 36:3,3 | greg 21:22 22:9,20 | 35:8 41:21 49:25 |
| 4:12,17 7:20 | gives 17:22 | 41:22 | 55:6 |
| 12:14,21 14:11,23 | giving 2:7 18:7 | grey 5:2 | hearing 1:14 |
| 15:1 20:7 21:7 | 60:9 | ground 44:19 | heat 52:12 |
| frazzled 38:11 | glad 60:23 | 45:22,23 46:4,5 | heavier 8:8 |
| frequency 11:3 | go 2:21 3:19 15:21 | group 25:23 guarantee 49:1 | height 11:19 |
| frequent 13:14 | 16:5,9 18:14 | guaranteed 40:19 | heights 43:18 |
| frequently 48:10 | 24:11 33:2 38:21 | guess 32:21 53:15 | help 33:22 34:24 |
| freshwater 54:13 | 41:14,23 45:23,24 | 58:10 61:5 | 46:17,19 49:5 |
| friendly 26:5 | 50:11,16 52:15 | guidance 12:25 | 50:19 59:16 |
| front 5:18 22:20 | goal 31:10 | 52:20 54:20 | helps 31:10 |
| 41:4 57:10 | goes 25:22 27:18 | 32.20 3 r.20 | here's 4:11 7:20 |
| 11.13/.10 | 27:19 35:10,10 | | |

[hey - i've]

| hey 18:1 | impacts 11:7 | 56:18 59:8,17 | 59:11,23 |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| higher 39:1 | 13:12 28:24 31:18 | industry 41:10 | item 2:17 |
| highlight 13:3 | implementation | information 25:20 | it's 4:18 5:19 6:2 |
| highlighted 4:9 | 10:5 50:19 | 26:13 30:2,10 | 7:19 9:18,20 |
| 35:17 | implementing | 38:4,6,15 | 11:18 15:5 17:10 |
| highway 5:10 6:1 | 34:13 | informative 53:14 | 17:23,25 18:7 |
| historic 41:13 | implications 51:13 | infrastructure | 20:22 23:18 25:7 |
| hits 36:23 | importance 13:3 | 31:4,11 33:21 | 25:10 26:1 29:4 |
| hmm 39:8,11 | important 54:5,7 | 34:14,21,24 39:22 | 31:2,12 32:17 |
| hold 21:16 36:1 | 55:2,25 60:2 | 43:7 | 33:12,17 34:1 |
| 42:11 49:18 | imposed 13:1 | initially 29:24 | 35:8 36:12,16 |
| holistically 25:25 | impropriety 2:18 | initiating 24:17 | 37:5,7,18,20,22 |
| 29:1 34:9 | improvements | inside 5:18 | 38:2 40:4,17 |
| homeowners | 39:24 56:5 | installation 10:14 | 44:15,16 45:6,16 |
| 44:23 | impunity 43:13 | instances 27:12 | 45:17 46:18 50:15 |
| homes 7:16 11:8 | inadequate 14:20 | integrated 31:20 | 51:4 52:25 53:2 |
| 36:21 42:22 44:20 | inappropriate | intense 13:14 40:9 | 53:19 55:25 59:11 |
| 44:25 45:21 | 37:19 | 60:1 | 59:15 60:2 |
| hooper 42:12 44:4 | inches 9:9 39:2 | intensity 40:23 | i'd 2:5,23 22:15 |
| 44:5 | incidents 20:20 | intensive 25:7 | 26:9 32:1 36:8 |
| hope 31:22 | include 7:8 10:13 | interactive 25:17 | 47:6 |
| hopefully 22:19 | 46:7 | interceptors 37:4 | i'll 2:22 3:13 16:15 |
| 22:21 | includes 11:1 | interest 35:19 | 22:22 23:4 35:7 |
| hotline 25:23 26:4 | 29:20 | interim 24:21 | 53:7 54:15 57:15 |
| hour 9:8 | including 10:21 | 58:12 | 59:3 |
| hours 17:7,20 18:8 | 11:9 12:22 14:22 | investment 56:2 | i'm 2:10 3:3,3,16 |
| houses 60:7 | 15:1 43:16 48:13 | investments 31:4 | 15:23 16:18 22:3 |
| huge 29:20 40:5 | 48:16 52:21 55:10 | 31:5 | 22:12,24 23:18 |
| human 58:13 | inconsistent 14:7 | invite 2:5 | 25:4 27:6,6 32:10 |
| hungry 48:25 | incorporate 47:1 | invoke 54:15 | 32:10,21 35:25 |
| hyde 62:3 | incorporated | involved 32:8,13 | 38:3 39:15,22 |
| hydraulic 40:13 | 46:14 51:12 | 37:24 56:1 | 40:3,9 42:7,7,15 |
| 40:24 41:1 | incorporating | isn't 43:10 45:1 | 42:16 43:2,19 |
| hydrologic 41:1 | 13:17 | issue 3:6 6:7 23:13 | 46:22 47:2 48:1 |
| i | increased 29:21 | 35:16 36:15,16,16 | 49:18 50:11 53:16 |
| idea 5:14 | indicates 38:6 | 40:10 44:11 51:5 | 53:17 56:9 57:16 |
| identified 36:7 | indicator 23:14,17 | 54:3 | 59:24 60:17 |
| ignore 31:18 | 23:19 24:8 | issued 47:13 48:11 | i've 27:2 31:23 |
| 43:14 | indiscernible | issues 11:11 20:25 | 35:1,8 49:11 50:2 |
| illustrate 11:11 | 21:20 23:24 32:4 | 22:23 27:2 34:6 | 53:22,25 54:3 |
| | 49:13 51:1,25 | 36:7 49:24 54:7 | |
| | 1 | | <u> </u> |

[jackie - lot]

| j | k | lawsuits 45:25 | litigation 49:8,10 |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| jackie 31:2 | keep 33:3 49:11 | lawyer 2:10 | little 7:4 16:22 |
| jail 49:12 | kelly 45:18 | lawyers 35:12,18 | 17:12 20:10 22:16 |
| james 2:1,19 | kepkey 31:2 | 49:11 | 24:3 26:10 28:16 |
| 15:14,18 16:3 | kids 16:6 | leadership 43:22 | 30:20 32:13,19,22 |
| 20:13 21:4,14,21 | killed 52:12 | 43:23 | 39:20 41:6 |
| 24:1 32:5,9 35:3 | kind 20:11 21:16 | ledanski 62:3 | live 16:5 43:16 |
| 36:10 37:25 38:18 | 25:24 29:14 37:20 | left 4:16 5:8,25 | 60:6 |
| 41:21,25 42:10 | 38:11 41:10 60:6 | 7:21 11:16 | living 31:23 39:19 |
| 44:3 46:11 48:21 | 61:17 | legal 12:21 28:2 | loading 34:15 |
| 49:4,11,13,16,20 | kinds 19:7 | 28:10 35:16,25 | located 4:22 5:10 |
| 49:22 51:2 52:3,7 | kissenger 2:8 61:9 | 36:15 37:7 45:15 | 5:16 6:1 |
| 52:11,16 53:3,16 | knew 26:17 40:11 | 49:24 51:5 53:5 | location 9:6 20:18 |
| 53:25 56:24 57:4 | know 2:19 17:24 | 53:12 62:11 | locations 6:17 |
| 58:10,16,19 59:2 | 17:24 18:20 19:24 | legally 46:19 | 17:9 18:12 21:13 |
| 59:5 60:14,17 | 20:18,21 21:9,22 | length 11:22 | 25:8,12 |
| 61:3,6,16 | 22:13 23:15,18 | lengthy 30:17 | long 10:6,18,23,25 |
| jane 32:5 | 25:2,4 27:5 30:20 | letters 35:6 | 12:7,16 13:16 |
| jayne 32:7,10,19 | 30:21,22,24 34:3 | let's 3:19 21:15 | 28:23 30:6,16 |
| 33:1 53:16 59:4 | 34:9 39:18,19 | 42:14 | 31:24 32:8,11 |
| jessica 2:5,23 3:2 | 42:7 43:10,11 | level 28:18 30:4 | 33:3,11 36:5 42:6 |
| 3:3 19:12,19,23 | 45:10 51:14 52:23 | 32:17,23 38:6 | 48:4 49:12 52:20 |
| 20:24 21:6 28:16 | 53:22 54:8 55:13 | levels 13:15 23:12 | 52:22 53:1 55:15 |
| 33:23 41:14 | 55:16 59:19 60:4 | lewis 2:11 | longer 31:3 |
| jessica's 29:9 | 60:11 | liability 14:10 | longest 26:20 |
| 36:17 43:20 | knowingly 42:21 | light 8:6 | look 6:15 11:20 |
| jim 26:18 31:21,24 | 1 | liked 16:10 | 12:13 19:2 21:6 |
| 37:17 38:20 | 1 | limitation 14:1,17 | 21:17 23:2,14 |
| job 28:17 45:4 | lab 24:10,15,15,15 | 14:21 48:5,10 | 28:25 34:21,22 |
| jobs 42:25 | 58:17,21 | limitations 9:14 | 36:25 38:13 43:21 |
| john 22:12 | laboratory 24:9 | 14:19 | 46:19 53:20 54:10 |
| johnson 16:17,17 | 24:12 25:20 | limited 29:4 | 55:5,10,15 59:20 |
| 18:25 19:21 20:6 | lake 5:22 | lincoln 53:19 | looked 34:8 38:22 |
| 45:19 57:6,8 | land 17:11 34:5 | line 4:1 18:13 | looking 19:18 33:2 |
| 58:15,23 | large 4:25 40:6,8 | lines 5:3 | 34:17 47:2 55:10 |
| joined 46:22 | 56:3 | lisa 42:10,10,13,15 | 59:15 |
| jointly 6:8 47:13 | larger 37:1 59:25 | listed 57:12 | looks 11:20 25:18 |
| 47:16 | lasts 28:24 | listen 53:7 | lot 4:14 16:19 |
| jurisdiction 6:10 | late 18:3 | lists 13:3 | 19:10 26:15 30:25 |
| 6:11 | law 2:11 12:18 | literally 25:7 | 38:5 40:8 43:11 |
| | 14:7,16 53:2 | | |
| | I | | |

[loud - nasty]

| loud 49:21 | material 50:5 | mention 44:14 | mitt 34:2,14 |
|-------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| love 60:12 | materials 10:12 | mentioned 41:14 | mixture 27:13 |
| ltcp 28:4 30:1 | matter 2:3 44:16 | 60:25 | mmm 39:8,11 |
| 31:15 | 44:17 45:20 46:1 | merced 5:22 | 51:23,23 |
| lufkowitz 61:12 | 55:5,22 | merits 35:14 | model 41:8 |
| 61:13 | matters 2:13,16 | messing 51:19 | modeling 29:18,25 |
| lunch 2:21 19:2 | max 40:20 | met 16:21 47:21 | model's 41:17 |
| 21:18 42:3,5 | mcgrath 2:1,19 | 55:20 | moderate 8:6 |
| m | 15:14,18 16:3 | mic 32:9,11 51:20 | modifications |
| | 20:13 21:4,14,21 | 52:9 | 15:24 34:18 |
| magnitude 11:3 | 24:1 32:5,9 35:3 | michael 2:4,25 | money 43:10,11 |
| main 27:17 | 36:10 37:25 38:18 | 21:23 22:7,21 | monitoring 23:7 |
| maintaining 50:21 | 41:21,25 42:10 | 41:24 49:22,23 | 23:20 29:17 30:11 |
| major 4:13 5:3 | 44:3 46:11 48:21 | 54:17 55:1 56:7 | 48:16 50:13,15,18 |
| 7:12 10:10 39:17 | 49:2,4,11,13,16 | 58:22,24 | 55:17,18,23 57:20 |
| majority 9:15 | 49:20,22 51:2 | michael's 54:20 | 57:23 58:8 |
| 37:14 | 52:3,7,11,16 53:3 | microphone 56:19 | montgomery 2:4 |
| making 31:3 | 53:16,25 56:24 | microphones | 2:25 58:24 |
| 53:14 | 57:4 58:10,16,19 | 52:13 | months 4:9 |
| man 51:19,22,24 | 59:2,5 60:14,17 | mic's 51:22 | morgan 2:11 |
| 52:2,5 56:19 57:6 | 61:3,5,6,16 | middle 11:17 37:3 | morning 3:5 18:4 |
| man2 56:21 | mean 9:20 18:9 | mile 6:17 | 46:24 |
| management | 20:2 32:22 33:21 | miles 6:7 | motion 59:2 |
| 25:20 60:4 | 37:4 40:5 46:9 | million 3:13 5:12 | motor 7:8 |
| manager 22:3,7,9 | 55:4,6 56:12 | 5:20,21 6:20 8:1,3 | mouth 20:7 |
| mandate 35:20 | means 8:5 9:21 | 8:9,15,16 | move 37:16 59:3 |
| mandated 29:11 | 13:23 | mind 31:23 36:1 | moved 25:2 |
| mandating 37:9 | measure 44:17 | mineola 62:14 | moving 7:21 26:8 |
| manhole 11:17,21 | measures 51:16 | minimization | multiple 24:25 |
| 11:23 | mechanism 27:1 | 44:15 | 34:12 |
| manholes 11:9 | mechanisms 24:25 | minimize 12:9 | municipal 9:12 |
| manner 51:10 | medians 39:21 | minimum 10:4,7 | municipalities |
| map 25:17 | meet 2:22 10:20 | 10:11,17 50:20 | 52:21 |
| maps 41:15 | 30:8,10 38:9 | minor 15:9 | |
| marnie 46:19 47:3 | meeting 33:9 | minute 22:17 | n |
| 47:4 49:16,18,21 | meetings 43:1 | 40:23 | n 62:1 |
| 50:8 51:17,21,23 | member 53:17 | minutes 22:15 | name 22:2,13 |
| 52:1,18 53:13 | 61:9,10,12,13,14 | 42:11 | 42:14 46:20 |
| mass 54:11 | members 11:5,6 | mitchell 46:12,18 | narrative 9:14 |
| massive 31:3 | 22:2 23:25 | 46:21 47:5 | narrowly 31:16 |
| 33:15 | 22.2 23.23 | FU.21 T/.J | nasty 53:20 |
| | | | |

[national - park]

| national 9:25 | nino 40:19 | occur 6:16,19 7:7 | orange 5:6,11 |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| nature 47:25 | nobody's 26:7 | 9:3 37:14 | order 3:6 14:12 |
| nautical 6:7 | norby 21:22 22:10 | ocean 4:7 5:11 6:5 | 15:8,12 17:7 48:3 |
| near 6:1,14 7:15 | north 4:3 5:20 | 6:17 7:19,25 29:4 | 48:14 57:10 |
| 8:25 11:3 13:6 | 6:14 | 29:14,21 35:11 | ordered 61:16 |
| 44:9 | note 13:19 60:20 | 38:8 | organized 21:19 |
| nearby 11:19 | 60:22 | oceanside 2:15 | outfall 6:6 7:24 |
| nearly 14:21,25 | nothing's 3:5 | 3:24 5:23 17:1,3 | 8:17 |
| necessarily 18:7 | notification 11:24 | 21:13 28:19 31:24 | outfalls 6:14 7:15 |
| 20:8 37:22 51:3 | 19:13,16 41:15 | october 47:20 | 13:6 28:20 33:24 |
| need 17:7 24:11 | notifications 19:8 | 57:21 58:9 | outreach 18:16 |
| 24:14 26:7 28:7 | notify 24:25 | office 2:21 22:11 | overall 33:13 40:1 |
| 34:4,10 43:22 | npds 2:6 3:4,7,21 | 25:19 | overflow 9:18,24 |
| 48:16 49:2 57:22 | 14:15,22,23,25 | ogbu 60:15,18 | 10:19 12:23 14:14 |
| needed 38:8 | 16:18 26:23,25 | 61:14,15 | 28:15 38:7,24 |
| needs 24:14 31:6 | 28:13 46:21 48:10 | oh 31:21 41:24 | 39:3 40:8 46:14 |
| 31:11,12,13 | 48:13 | 52:16 60:17 | 50:7 53:19 |
| negligence 42:19 | nuanced 28:16 | oil 7:8 | overflows 9:23 |
| neighborhood | nuisance 14:5 | ok 38:1 | 10:1,8 11:8,25 |
| 34:3 42:25 | 50:4 | okay 2:20,22 | 12:11,12 25:1 |
| neighbors 42:18 | number 19:5 23:1 | 15:23 18:10,17 | 26:1 27:11,21 |
| 42:23 | 40:5,8 54:18 | 19:20 21:14 34:9 | 28:3,6,12 29:13 |
| neighbors' 42:22 | numbers 40:6 | 37:25 38:18 41:24 | 35:20 40:1,8 |
| neither 30:13 | numeric 9:13 | 42:7 47:5 49:20 | overtime 16:14 |
| new 11:24 12:7 | numerous 11:4 | 51:21 52:6,17,18 | overwhelms 6:11 |
| 29:21 32:21 58:3 | nutty 29:3,3 | 52:18,19 | owned 25:9 48:11 |
| 58:5 | ny 62:14 | old 62:12 | o'clock 17:17 18:1 |
| newsha 15:16,23 | 0 | once 30:7 45:24 | 18:2,6 |
| 16:4 18:9 19:17 | o 62:1 | ones 16:1 19:8,9 | p |
| 19:20 20:2 23:3 | oakland 54:17,21 | 40:14 | pacific 4:7 6:5 |
| 24:23 32:6 35:1 | oath 46:23 | one's 28:16 52:3 | 7:19,24 38:7 |
| 38:20 39:6,9,12 | object 20:9 | ongoing 56:6 | 43:18 |
| 40:11 42:6 52:8 | objective 10:17 | online 11:25 18:15 | page 19:14,18,19 |
| 52:14 53:11,24 | objectives 34:11 | 18:24 21:8 | 19:20 23:1,25 |
| 56:22,25 59:3,6 61:11 | 34:12,23 | operates 9:16 | 57:16 |
| newsha's 25:18 | objects 13:24,25 | operating 29:23 50:21,23 | pages 1:25 58:1 |
| night 25:13 | 14:3 | operations 12:9 | parameter 57:17 |
| nine 10:4,7 47:21 | observations | opportunity 15:20 | 58:2 |
| 50:20 51:15 | 46:13 58:4 | options 11:2 | paraphrase 35:7 |
| 30.20 31.13 | obviously 16:8 | options 11.2 | park 17:10 |
| | 59:21 | | |

[parks - prior]

| parks 25:11 | 28:2,13 29:8 | planned 13:10 | posting 18:11,18 |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| part 22:18 24:22 | 30:15 46:21 47:11 | planning 21:7,9 | 18:22,23,24,24 |
| 25:16 33:12 50:14 | 47:13,15,19,24 | 21:10 31:20 33:3 | 25:6,13,21 |
| 60:5 | 50:12,12 59:1 | 33:11,18 59:19 | postpones 57:22 |
| partially 7:14 | 60:25 | plannings 33:15 | potential 2:18 |
| participating 2:9 | permits 3:21 | plans 52:21,23 | 12:2 |
| particular 2:17 | 14:22,25 15:2,25 | 53:1 | powerful 43:12 |
| 7:17 44:10 54:3 | 26:19,21 27:22 | plant 3:11 5:1,14 | practice 19:7 |
| 56:13 | 48:10,13 | 5:24,25 6:4,8 7:1 | precise 13:23 |
| parts 60:3 | person 53:18 | 7:23,25 8:2,6,8,15 | precursor 29:15 |
| pass 53:10 | personal 54:15 | 8:24 9:12 29:21 | predicted 29:25 |
| passed 15:11 | personnel 49:7 | 36:21 | predominantly |
| patterns 13:11 | perspective 15:6 | plants 4:13 6:2 | 4:19 |
| peak 40:23 | 37:21 | 8:13 33:9 | prepare 31:14 |
| people 16:5,9,11 | phone 26:5 | platform 26:9 | prepared 15:11 |
| 16:14 18:14 26:3 | photo 5:17 11:14 | pleas 43:14 | 41:19 |
| 27:7 42:24,24 | 11:16 | please 3:20 42:11 | preparing 53:9 |
| 44:18,22 45:2,5 | photographs | 43:23 56:20 | prescribe 51:3 |
| 60:2 | 11:11 | pleased 22:16 | prescriptive 26:19 |
| people's 38:13 | photos 11:12 | point 22:6 24:7 | 26:20 55:8 |
| perfect 52:15 | 27:15 31:25 43:4 | 26:13 29:10 33:6 | present 15:8 21:24 |
| perform 32:18 | physical 18:23 | 33:20 38:3 | 42:16 |
| performance | 25:6,21 | points 9:1 | presentation 2:7 |
| 28:18 29:16,23 | physically 15:5 | policy 9:19,24 | 20:14 29:10 33:24 |
| 30:9 33:10 41:4 | 18:11 | 10:2,3 12:24 | 36:17 60:9,21 |
| 41:11 | pick 53:7 | 14:15 29:15 36:16 | presentations |
| performed 23:23 | piece 25:16 33:19 | 37:21 46:14 47:2 | 21:17 |
| 24:15 | pink 4:16 | 52:19 | presenting 28:17 |
| performing 32:17 | pipe 35:9,11 41:9 | pollutants 54:11 | 28:18 |
| 35:22 | pipelines 5:4 | pollution 5:24 | presumably 27:3 |
| perimeter 4:24 | pipes 7:2,3 36:18 | 10:16 14:5 50:22 | pretty 17:16 26:1 |
| 5:7 9:1 | 37:1,2,15 | poo 45:25,25 | 54:2 |
| period 3:18 11:6 | place 24:6 57:24 | population 52:24 | prevention 10:16 |
| 55:13 | 57:25 | port 54:17,21 | 50:22 |
| permit 2:15 3:7,9 | plan 4:10 10:6,18 | portfolio 31:6 | previous 15:2 |
| 4:4,10 6:8 9:21 | 10:23 12:8,16 | portion 3:8,25 4:8 | primarily 17:14 |
| 10:22 12:5 13:16 | 13:17 30:6,16 | 4:22 | primary 8:10,18 |
| 13:19 14:20,23 | 32:12,14,15,16,21 | posed 41:19 | 8:20,22 9:3 10:17 |
| 15:17 16:25 20:22 | 32:21 33:7,12,13 | post 16:24 17:5 | 37:16 |
| 20:23 23:2,6,22 | 33:19 36:5 48:4 | 20:4 30:11 | prior 12:18 25:21 |
| 24:5,14 26:23,25 | | | |

[priority - reflective]

| | 1 | 1 | T. |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| priority 34:7 | provide 8:3,9 9:22 | 38:21 42:3 46:24 | 41:6 43:21 59:8 |
| 43:25 | 19:16 24:23 31:13 | 55:22 | 59:20 60:2,8,23 |
| probably 16:9 | 34:16 | questions 12:15 | 60:24 |
| 17:3 53:17 | provided 11:13 | 15:14,16,19,21 | reason 22:18 |
| problem 12:5 43:3 | 47:7 53:13 | 20:13 21:15 22:22 | 50:14 |
| 44:18 54:22 55:10 | provides 8:6 | 23:5 35:4 39:19 | reasonably 54:24 |
| problems 43:7 | providing 13:21 | 40:12 41:18 50:2 | reasons 17:8 |
| 50:5,24 54:18 | 32:17 47:9 | 53:9 58:11 | 50:18 |
| proceedings 62:5 | provision 15:4 | quickly 27:10 | recall 8:14 |
| process 24:10,17 | 16:20 46:16 | quite 51:8 | receive 7:11 8:18 |
| 25:24 30:17 35:13 | provisions 13:24 | quo 55:4 | 9:2 |
| 47:8,19 53:14 | 14:19 15:3 | r | received 3:18 8:23 |
| produce 3:12 | public 2:13 3:18 | r 62:1 | 11:4 20:15 |
| program 2:6 | 11:5,6,6,23,23 | r 62:1 racks 10:14 | receives 7:4 |
| programs 26:15 | 20:25 22:4 24:25 | rain 6:11 8:6,8 9:9 | receiving 10:9 |
| 50:23 | 25:25 26:12,14 | 1 | 13:25 14:17,21 |
| prohibit 14:1 | 31:18 43:15,24 | 13:13 39:2 rainfall 39:5,16 | 28:24 40:14 48:5 |
| prohibition 14:4 | 44:14 47:16 48:18 | 1 | 48:9 |
| 14:18,24 | 50:4 59:21 | 40:15,25 41:13 | recognize 54:6 |
| project 3:10 34:14 | publicly 48:11 | raining 9:21 rains 40:10 | 55:2,2 |
| 35:14 60:24 | public's 11:14 | | recommend 58:25 |
| projection 44:21 | puc 2:3 42:19,20 | rainstorm 39:18 | recommendation |
| projections 44:13 | 43:2,9,12,24 44:7 | rainy 16:7 raised 49:24 51:6 | 56:10,15 57:7 |
| promise 22:15 | 44:12 45:18 | | record 2:10 29:9 |
| pronounce 22:13 | puc's 42:19 | range 10:19 rationale 48:15 | 57:16 62:5 |
| proper 60:4 | pump 8:16 34:3,7 | reach 27:16 28:6 | recreational 13:10 |
| properly 50:21,23 | 34:10,16,18 | 40:14 45:24 | rectangles 5:7 |
| propose 57:13 | pumping 8:14 | reached 27:25 | recuse 2:9,17 29:1 |
| proposed 13:18 | purpose 36:20 | reaches 35:11 | 29:2 |
| 14:13 43:4 54:21 | purposes 24:13 | read 27:3 28:7 | recycled 3:10,13 |
| protect 43:14 | pursuant 35:21 | 57:15 58:7 | 60:23 |
| 44:21 | put 20:7 | reading 35:6 | red 5:3,19 11:21 |
| protected 29:19 | putting 16:1 26:22 | | reduce 10:8 11:2 |
| 59:21 | q | ready 2:25 49:16 49:19 | 29:12 34:15 35:20 |
| protecting 30:5,12 | quality 1:14 10:6 | real 27:7 | reductions 38:8 |
| 38:7,16 44:25 | 10:9,20 14:3,15 | realize 17:13 | refer 20:20 |
| protection 10:21 | 25:5 28:24 34:16 | 59:14 | referred 3:23,25 |
| 34:20 | 38:9 48:8,19 | realized 60:19 | reflect 47:25 |
| protocols 23:11 | question 16:16 | really 16:25 22:16 | 48:16 52:23 |
| prove 14:20 | 24:23 26:24 35:1 | 26:19 27:10,10 | reflective 47:22 |
| | 35:2 36:3 37:6 | 36:6 40:15,22 | |
| | 33.4 30.3 37.0 | 30.0 40.13,22 | |

[refused - sanitary]

| refused 43:6 | removes 8:21 | residents 60:10 | riptides 29:5 |
|---------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| regarding 44:11 | repeat 30:7 | resiliency 31:8 | rise 32:23 |
| regardless 60:5 | report 11:25 | respect 14:9 28:15 | rising 13:15 |
| region 14:22,25 | 12:12 43:20 45:13 | 36:12 | road 62:12 |
| 46:22 | 45:16 | respond 44:14,19 | robust 26:1 55:19 |
| regional 1:13 15:3 | reporting 46:2 | 45:15 | rock 6:17 |
| 37:23 47:17,20 | 50:13,18 | responded 44:12 | roddy 22:12 |
| 48:12 50:9 54:24 | represent 42:16 | 47:16 | roll 60:14 61:4 |
| 56:8 | 45:5 | response 12:20 | room 48:24 53:18 |
| regulate 3:16 9:9 | representative | 13:2 14:11 16:20 | roundabouts |
| 9:10,11 28:12 | 21:1 | 27:4 28:9 35:6 | 39:21 |
| 50:4,10 | representatives | 45:18 48:9 | run 59:11 |
| regulating 37:9 | 19:5 22:11 | responses 3:19 | running 4:3 53:20 |
| regulation 30:25 | representing 2:12 | responsible 54:23 | 54:18 |
| 37:20 | 45:8 | responsibly 45:4 | runoff 4:5,6 6:24 |
| regulations 12:23 | request 24:18 44:2 | rest 24:24 | 7:6,11 8:2 |
| 14:15 | 56:17 | restricts 51:14 | S |
| regulatory 15:6 | require 10:22 | result 34:13 | sacramento 4:22 |
| 33:18 | 12:15 23:6,22 | resulted 33:8 | 48:14 52:22 |
| rehab 34:10 | 24:7 43:24 51:15 | 47:24 | safety 17:8 25:12 |
| rehabilitate 34:7 | 55:9 | retained 15:4 | 43:15,25 |
| rehabilitated 34:4 | required 13:20 | retains 13:16 | sake 57:15 |
| rehabilitation | 24:13 50:19 | retired 45:3 | sample 23:8 |
| 34:12 | requirement 11:1 | return 53:8 | sampled 23:12 |
| reinvesting 34:24 | 12:17,22 13:4,17 | revise 14:12 | samples 24:20 |
| reissuance 2:14 | 26:25 30:5,7,15 | revised 13:19 15:8 | san 1:13 2:2,11,12 |
| 12:5 47:8,19 | 30:19 | 15:12 48:2,14 | 3:8,14,20 4:12,17 |
| reissued 59:1 | requirements 9:15 | | 4:19 7:20 9:6,22 |
| related 28:3 50:24 | 10:5,7 11:24,24 | revisions 13:18 | 11:5 12:3,14,14 |
| 57:14 59:23 | 12:7,24,25 14:6,9 | 15:10 36:5 47:24 | 12:16,20 13:18,22 |
| relates 27:10 28:5 | 14:13 23:8 28:3 | revisited 33:17 | 13:23,25 14:3,6,8 |
| relationship 54:16 | 33:18 38:9 47:24 | richmond 5:20 | 14:11,22 15:1,20 |
| relaxed 32:11 | 48:5,15 | right 2:1 7:21 | 16:22,23 19:4 |
| releasing 18:17 | requires 10:5 12:8 | 11:14 18:16 19:24 | 20:7 21:7,11,15 |
| 20:5 | 12:11 24:8 30:15 | 20:4,6,6 35:19,23 | 22:4 23:8 25:9 |
| relocate 11:2 | 48:6 50:13,14 | 38:2,10 39:7,8,9 | 29:11,19 31:1 |
| remarks 48:20 | 56:12 | 39:10 40:6,10,10 | 33:13 41:22 42:15 |
| remember 46:16 | requiring 45:15 | 52:19 | 42:19 43:16 46:6 |
| 54:16 | resident 42:15 | rights 38:13 | 47:18 50:20 59:7 |
| remote 2:24 | 59:7 | rinse 30:6 | sanitary 27:13,13 |
| | | | 36:19 37:12,17 |

[santa - specifically]

| santa 49:9 | separating 56:3 | shoreline 23:2,7 | slide 3:20 |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| satisfy 10:6 | september 1:15 | 23:20 | slightly 15:24 |
| savvy 18:19 26:3 | 62:16 | shorelines 23:9 | small 4:22 33:25 |
| saw 27:15 | series 40:17 | short 10:8 17:15 | 34:2 37:12 40:18 |
| saying 18:17 | seriously 12:2 | 18:25 | society 31:9 |
| 38:15 | serve 14:18 44:6 | show 5:2 29:2 | solid 10:12 |
| scale 5:14 37:5 | service 17:10 | 53:22 | solids 8:22 |
| scradents 10:15 | session 42:3 48:22 | showed 33:23 | solution 51:4,4 |
| screen 5:25 52:8 | 49:3,7,14 | 36:17 | 55:8 |
| scrutiny 38:13 | set 6:22 7:2,3 | showing 4:12 | solutions 12:3 |
| sea 13:15 32:23 | sets 41:13 | shown 4:2,15 5:6 | 43:5 62:11 |
| 33:23 34:3 | seven 6:14 8:25 | 5:11,18,22,24 7:4 | solutionsnotsan |
| seacliff 39:10 | 26:2 27:23 28:21 | shows 7:17 11:15 | 32:1 42:17 44:6 |
| season 57:23,24 | 28:21,22 35:22 | 11:16 30:11 38:16 | 44:12 |
| second 14:3 19:1 | sewage 6:23 7:1 | 38:24 | solve 12:4 |
| 27:20 35:14 51:5 | 8:1 36:20 42:21 | side 3:22 17:4 21:5 | somebody 18:19 |
| 51:5 59:4 | sewer 3:16 4:19,21 | 29:14,21 44:9 | 45:24 |
| secondary 8:3,7 | 6:12,21,22,23,25 | sides 4:2,4 | sonya 62:3 |
| 8:11 9:22 51:11 | 7:5,10,13,22 8:19 | sidewalks 42:22 | soon 17:16 20:9 |
| secondly 28:14 | 8:21 9:2,10,18,23 | signage 25:8 | sooner 18:6 |
| section 46:21 | 10:1 11:7,8 12:23 | signature 62:9 | sorry 22:12 32:10 |
| see 11:21 19:3 | 13:2 14:14 23:10 | significant 3:17 | 38:1,3,21 39:15 |
| 21:8,18 23:17 | 25:1 27:11,12,19 | 10:10 36:11 39:2 | 42:20 50:12 60:16 |
| 30:24 34:18 38:25 | 27:21,21 28:3,4,5 | 54:14 | 60:17 61:9 |
| 38:25 39:13,20 | 28:12,12,15 29:12 | signs 19:8,14 | sort 39:13,23 |
| 41:15 42:14 55:7 | 29:13 30:18 36:14 | similar 8:12,22 | 52:25 59:22 |
| 59:17,25 60:23,24 | 36:19,24 38:24 | 14:20 39:13 | sound 26:16 51:20 |
| seeing 40:7 | 39:2 43:3 46:9,10 | similarly 14:24 | sounds 25:17 |
| seen 15:4 25:18 | 46:13 47:23 48:1 | simple 10:14 | source 36:14 |
| 39:24 40:2 | 48:13 50:6 51:9 | simplifying 35:25 | sources 58:14 |
| sending 18:13 | 54:9 | simulate 41:7,9,12 | south 4:3 5:21 |
| senior 2:6 3:3 | sewers 56:3 | single 6:22,23 41:8 | 6:15 |
| sense 15:19 31:22 | shape 31:12,14 | site 3:12 47:25 | southeast 17:2 |
| 31:22 | share 22:24 | sitting 5:17 | southend 25:3 |
| sensitive 48:17 | shared 29:7 53:6 | situation 37:11 | speak 27:7 42:17 |
| separate 6:25 | sharpen 36:1 | 45:16 | speaking 22:21 |
| 36:19,19 | sheetrock 45:22 | situations 30:13 | specific 13:21 |
| separated 19:15 | she's 2:5 | six 9:4 | 20:20 33:22 47:25 |
| separately 4:4 | shore 6:7,14 7:15 | size 36:25 37:3 | 51:3 57:14 |
| 19:13 50:25 | 8:25 11:3 13:6 | sizes 37:10 | specifically 44:14 |
| | | | 46:25 48:4 |

[specified - technology]

| specified 23:20 | 40:22 | substantial 47:22 | 8:19,21 9:13,16 |
|---|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------|
| specify 23:7 49:3 | store 5:12 | suffered 42:18 | 11:8 17:1,2 25:21 |
| speculate 41:4 | storm 9:8 28:22 | sufficient 10:20 | 27:12,15,20 28:4 |
| speed 58:17 | 28:23 29:6 40:16 | 55:23 58:12,17 | 28:13 29:20,24 |
| spend 31:9 | 40:19,23 41:10 | suite 62:13 | 30:18 35:21 36:15 |
| spending 33:20 | 53:21 60:1 | sun 18:3 | 36:20,24,25 37:15 |
| spent 16:19 31:11 | storms 7:12 40:18 | sunrise 16:2,11 | 39:15 41:5,9 46:9 |
| 33:21 34:23 | 41:12 44:7 59:10 | sunset 16:2,11 | 46:10 47:23 48:1 |
| staff 15:15 20:14 | 59:25 | 17:16,17 | 48:13 50:6,22 |
| 25:14 26:10 35:12 | stormwater 4:23 | supervisor 2:6 | 54:9 55:3 59:12 |
| 43:8 47:6,15,17 | 5:13 6:13,24 7:2,6 | 46:22 | 60:5 |
| 47:21 56:6,8,10 | 7:11,15 8:8 9:7 | supplement 16:3,4 | systems 3:16 6:25 |
| 56:15 | 13:5 27:14 34:2 | supplemental | 9:10 13:2 20:17 |
| staff's 43:21 | 34:15 36:24 37:6 | 15:10 57:11 | 36:14 37:10 51:9 |
| stage 56:13 | 37:10,15,16 44:16 | support 48:2,4 | t |
| stages 21:10 | 54:13 60:4 | 55:8 56:10 | t 62:1,1 |
| standard 13:24 | straightforward | supports 11:23 | table 38:16,25 |
| 15:3 22:17 23:11 | 22:25 | supposed 44:17 | 57:16,19 58:1,7 |
| 28:17 29:16 35:24 | street 7:6,11 8:2 | surcharge 27:17 | tables 23:6 38:22 |
| 48:8 58:4 | 10:13,15 11:15,18 | 27:17 | take 6:15 9:17 |
| standards 10:20 | 35:10 36:23 37:3 | surcharging 11:10 | 12:1 18:3 19:2 |
| 14:3,16 30:9 | 39:25 50:11 | sure 16:15 20:17 | 20:10 22:15 42:5 |
| 41:11 48:19 | streets 7:16 40:3 | 20:21 31:12 32:10 | 55:24,24 57:4 |
| start 2:2 3:1,14 | 42:23 | 35:16 36:10 40:4 | taken 11:12 12:6 |
| 18:16 20:5 | strengthen 12:6 | 49:25 53:4 56:24 | 16:6 32:2 |
| started 46:15 | strives 9:6 | 57:8 59:20 | takes 16:24 17:5 |
| state 1:13 6:10,10 | strong 28:1 29:5 | surf 16:11 29:6 | talk 26:9 35:11 |
| 14:15,16 25:11 | structure 5:9,15 | surface 7:3 27:25 | 44:15 |
| 28:14 29:11 47:12 | 5:19 53:19 | 28:6 36:22 | talked 13:15 17:12 |
| 50:7,17 | structures 4:25 | surfer 18:19 | 36:6 60:11 |
| states 6:3 13:20 | 5:6 | surfers 29:3 | talking 4:7 23:15 |
| statewide 11:25 | struggled 27:2 | surprised 50:8 | 23:18 28:22 56:2 |
| station 34:16,18 | studies 10:10 | 59:25 | 56:4 57:16 60:19 |
| stations 34:4,8,10 | 56:14 | surveys 29:2 | task 27:6 |
| status 55:4 | sublott 46:23 | sweeney 49:8 | tasks 13:20 |
| stephanie 45:19 | submit 12:11 | sweeping 10:13,15 | tear 45:21 |
| steps 12:6 | 24:12 | swim 25:3 | technical 23:16 |
| steve's 53:9 | submittals 27:3 | system 4:13,18,19 | 47:7 |
| stood 53:21 | submitted 22:8 | 4:21 5:4 6:12,19 | technology 10:4 |
| storage 5:5,9 6:19 29:20,22 33:9 | 30:3 38:5 | 6:21,22,23 7:5,10 | 19:9 |
| 47.40,44 55.9 | | 7:13,18,21,22 | |

[tell - uncertainty]

| | 10.10.10.1 | | T |
|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| tell 16:20 19:6,17 | 40:10 42:1 43:2 | 23:14,19 33:24 | treated 7:14,23 |
| 53:23 55:17 | 45:20 52:10 53:18 | 35:7 36:7 42:4,11 | 8:11 50:25 54:23 |
| tells 26:6 | 56:9 58:12 59:19 | threshold 23:13 | treatment 3:11,15 |
| ten 28:22 | 61:2,16 | thrive 59:22 | 4:12 5:1,14,25 7:1 |
| tenants 45:23 | there's 3:22,24 | time 2:2 9:15 | 7:4,12,21,23 8:3,7 |
| tentative 14:12 | 17:2,3 18:15 19:3 | 10:24 16:1,19,24 | 8:10,13,18,20,23 |
| 15:8,12 48:3 | 19:23 20:14 25:5 | 17:5,7,22,23 18:3 | 8:23 9:3,12,22 |
| 57:10 | 25:22,23 34:6 | 19:21 22:17 23:5 | 29:21,22 33:9 |
| term 10:6,8,18,23 | 36:2 38:23 45:13 | 25:6 39:1,17 44:2 | 36:21 40:20,21 |
| 10:25 12:7,16 | 45:13,17 46:2,2,3 | 47:9 48:20 49:12 | 48:11 51:11 |
| 13:16 30:6,16 | they'd 17:8 | 53:22 54:4,20,21 | treats 6:4 7:25 |
| 32:8,12 33:3,11 | they're 16:8 19:14 | 55:13 58:17 60:11 | tried 45:3 |
| 36:5 48:4 52:20 | 20:19 21:9,10 | timeframe 19:22 | trouble 45:25 |
| 52:22 53:1 55:15 | 26:8 34:4 35:16 | 19:23 20:12 | true 23:19 62:4 |
| terminology 27:9 | 37:5 39:23 40:6 | times 8:4 9:4 18:5 | try 5:15 23:4 27:6 |
| terms 17:23,25 | 51:19 52:16 60:7 | 26:2 27:23 28:21 | 27:7 32:7 42:11 |
| 18:2 30:1 33:11 | they've 19:5 33:25 | 31:17 47:21 | 51:20 |
| 33:20 55:16 | thing 35:15 38:11 | timing 20:3 | trying 30:14 31:5 |
| terrace 44:9 | 44:24,25 52:25 | today 3:6 4:7 | 38:21 |
| test 58:12 | 60:16 | 22:21,23 27:5 | tunnel 5:21,22 |
| testimony 20:15 | things 18:11 30:23 | 30:1 33:10 38:16 | turn 21:15 23:1 |
| testing 52:1,5 | 35:7 51:15 53:21 | 41:20 43:8,19 | turned 52:10,11 |
| th 21:11 44:10 | think 2:2 3:3 | 44:11 47:9,10 | 58:5 |
| thank 2:4,24 | 16:10 17:17 20:8 | 48:1 | tweak 36:9 |
| 38:19 41:1 42:8 | 20:24 21:11 22:5 | told 44:23 45:14 | tweets 18:13 |
| 42:13,20,20 44:1 | 23:24 25:11,13 | tom 23:24 24:2,3 | two 3:21 4:2,20 |
| 44:3 46:10 47:5,6 | 26:2,3,9,11 27:5 | tons 39:20 | 5:18 6:17 13:24 |
| 47:8 48:20,21 | 28:6 30:21,22 | tools 41:6 | 17:6,20 18:7,10 |
| 49:12 53:3 57:8 | 32:13 33:2,6 35:4 | top 53:21 | 19:7 20:17 21:13 |
| 60:8,13,21 61:2 | 35:5,15 36:9 | topic 37:13 | 27:1,9 33:16 36:9 |
| thanks 15:13 | 38:12 50:8 51:13 | total 6:21 23:21 | 39:18 43:3 50:2 |
| 21:25 24:2 34:25 | 52:9,25 54:5,14 | 24:20 40:15 54:10 | 52:5,6 58:10 |
| that's 2:19,24 3:12 | 55:1,6,9,14,15,25 | touch 31:7 | type 40:19 |
| 3:23,25 4:3,8,15 | 56:6,7,25 60:25 | touching 23:3 | typical 8:5 19:7 |
| 5:11 6:3,6,20 8:10 | 61:17 | transcript 62:4 | 41:9 |
| 8:22 9:13 11:18 | thinking 16:19 | transport 5:5,9,10 | typically 7:25 |
| 21:8 24:22 25:15 | 17:21 | 29:20 | u |
| 25:18 31:21 34:2 | third 36:2 | trash 7:9 | u.s. 27:16 50:17 |
| 34:5,6,14,25 | thought 30:9 | treat 9:7 51:9 | |
| 35:22,24 37:11,13 | three 5:5 9:8 | 54:12 | uncertainty 14:9 30:25 36:4 |
| 37:17,18 39:13,23 | 21:13 22:17,23 | | 30.23 30.4 |

[underground - what's]

| underground 6:3 | 38:17 55:19 | 60:10 | 55:20 |
|---------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| 46:6 | utilities 2:13 22:4 | wanted 19:11 | ways 26:23 36:11 |
| understand 12:3 | utility 31:1 | 22:23 53:4 60:15 | 60:13 |
| 13:12 16:7 32:8 | | 60:20 61:1 | weather 7:7,11 8:2 |
| 32:12 35:4 41:25 | V | warning 19:14 | 8:5,12 9:5,10,17 |
| 45:4 50:1 54:8 | validated 41:18 | warnings 19:14 warnings 18:13 | 9:20,21 10:3 12:9 |
| 55:25 56:1 | valley 49:9 | wash 7:8 | 30:4 32:18 36:18 |
| understanding | valuable 53:13 | wasn't 18:1 29:9 | 36:23 37:14 |
| 30:2 | various 9:14 57:12 | 54:2 55:1 | web 26:8 |
| understands | vast 37:13 | wastewater 3:4,7 | website 25:17 26:4 |
| 49:25 | vehicle 7:8 | 3:11,15 4:12,23 | 26:5 31:25 41:14 |
| understood 35:6 | veritext 62:11 | 5:1,13 6:5,13 7:14 | weekly 23:9 |
| 53:4 | versus 19:9 27:1 | 8:23 9:7,12 13:5 | weighed 54:14 |
| undertaking | 31:24 | 16:18 22:3,9 | weighed 34.14 went 16:21,22 |
| 33:15 | vested 25:4 35:19 | 33:14 59:12 60:5 | west 44:9 |
| undisinfected 7:14 | 38:2,10,13 | water 1:14 3:10,13 | western 3:8,22 4:8 |
| unique 4:18 | vice 61:7,8 | 5:23 6:6 7:8,24 | 9:1 |
| united 6:2 | victoria 44:9 | 8:11,17 10:2,6,9 | westside 3:23 4:6 |
| unrelated 2:14,16 | view 3:20 50:3 | 10:20 11:16 13:25 | 4:15 5:9 20:19 |
| unsupported | 51:14 | 14:2,14,15,17,21 | 60:23 |
| 12:18 14:7 | viewing 38:2 | 25:5 28:14,24 | wet 9:17,20,21 |
| unusual 53:1 | viewpoint 53:5 | 34:1,16 35:9 | 10:3 12:9 16:8 |
| update 10:23 12:8 | violation 48:7 | 37:19,23 38:9,10 | 30:4 36:23 37:14 |
| 12:16 13:17,20 | violations 14:2 | 44:13 46:7,15,16 | 39:7,14 57:23,24 |
| 28:5 30:1,15,19 | virtual 18:23 | 46:25 47:21 48:5 | we'll 2:21 4:17 |
| 31:16 55:15 | virtually 30:18 | 48:8,9,19 49:8,9,9 | 6:15 21:17 35:11 |
| updated 15:3 | volume 12:10 | 50:4 51:1,12 54:1 | 49:1 57:4 |
| 25:19 52:22 53:1 | 31:16 40:15 | 59:12 60:23 | we're 2:20,25 4:7 |
| updates 52:20 | volumes 33:25 | waters 6:9 7:3 | 4:14 12:2,4,13 |
| updating 32:20 | vs 49:8,9 | 16:12 27:16,18,25 | 28:22 30:14,21,23 |
| upstairs 2:20 | W | 28:6 40:14 47:12 | 31:3 33:1 34:13 |
| 48:23 | wait 31:21 | 50:7,17,17 54:12 | 37:11 38:2,14,14 |
| urbano 44:10 | want 2:9 16:14,14 | watershed 34:8 | 38:15 42:1 44:16 |
| uscpa 9:23 12:1,15 | 20:17,21 21:24 | watkins 2:5,23 3:2 | 44:23 45:12 48:22 |
| 12:19,25 15:7,11 | 22:5,14 26:21 | 3:3 19:12,19,23 | 49:3,6 56:2 |
| 29:11 | 32:7,12 33:3 | 20:24 21:6 | we've 13:11 20:15 |
| use 2:23 13:10 | 34:22 35:16 37:8 | wawona 44:10 | 27:22 29:1 30:3 |
| 32:9,11 35:23 | 41:3 42:4,8 43:20 | way 9:11 16:12,21 | 41:21 49:25 60:19 |
| 41:18 43:23 | 49:24 50:1 51:14 | 16:23 18:15,21 | what's 21:1 29:7 |
| uses 6:22 10:22 | 53:7,11 56:11 | 31:14 39:25 41:3 | 32:8,13 36:12 |
| 29:18 30:5,12 | 58:22 59:8,14,20 | 43:24 44:7 51:7 | 51:18 59:17 |
| | | | |

[wife - zoom]

| wife 53:20 | 41:10 |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|
| william 2:8 | years 12:13 26:18 |
| winter 44:7 | 40:7 42:18,23 |
| wintertime 17:15 | 54:4 59:18 60:1 |
| 18:4 | yellow 4:2 5:24 |
| wobona 21:11 | 11:18 |
| woman 49:2,6,15 | you'd 19:25 |
| 56:17 61:5,7,9,12 | you'll 41:15 |
| 61:14 | you're 17:18 24:3 |
| wonder 18:14 | 30:12 33:5 35:8 |
| won't 22:19 49:1 | 35:15,18 36:4 |
| words 20:7 43:19 | 40:7,19 42:1 |
| work 2:15 16:14 | 45:14 49:4 |
| 21:23 26:11 43:9 | you've 15:4,18 |
| 43:9,21 44:22 | 21:18 27:3 29:18 |
| 45:11 46:21 54:16 | 35:5 |
| 59:9 | Z |
| workable 20:12 | _ |
| worked 15:6 | zoo 6:1 zoom 4:17 |
| 39:15,24 45:2 | ZOOM 4:1/ |
| 47:14,17 | |
| workers 5:16 | |
| working 32:14 | |
| 42:24 51:22 52:2 | |
| 52:4,9,9 | |
| works 48:11 | |
| worried 36:5 | |
| worth 19:4 | |
| wouldn't 20:5 | |
| 41:3,19 43:18 | |
| 55:8 | |
| written 10:2 | |
| y | |
| yeah 2:4,21,25 | |
| 20:2,24 21:6 | |
| 32:25 39:4 46:18 | |
| 51:24 56:21 57:2 | |
| year 9:4 26:3 | |
| 27:24 28:23 29:14 | |
| 29:17 33:16,16 | |
| 35:21,22 39:7,14 | |
| 33.21,22 39.7,14 | |